

<b>Q5: My community is a:</b>
<b>Other, please specify (you will skip to Question 11)</b>
Cabin area.
Town of CBS.
I live in a area known mostly as cabin but the past few years there are 7-10 fulltime residents. It is run by a committee that controls everything. Hoping to get some changes made.
Municipality.
City.
Municipality.
Incorporated town.
Flat Bay Band Council.
Town. Incorporated.
Town Incorporation.

**Q10: Does your local service district share any services, equipment or infrastructure with other local service districts and/or municipalities?**

Fire response.
Fire Service.
Western Regional Service Board.
Town of Daniel's Harbour Fire Protection.
We pay for fire protection from our neighbouring Town. Waste collection, recycling and waste disposal is contracted to a private company in another Town.
Fire Protection.
Emergency Planning.
Waste Disposal and Recycling.
Fire department.
Emergency services.
We supply fire and emergency services for Gander Bay South LSD.
Whitbourne provides fire protection to our Local Service District and our household pays an
Fire service, garbage and recycling collection.
Fire fighting services.
Fire Services.
Fire protection.
Fire and Ambulance Services.
Unsure, yet communities work together for the better end of whole area.
Fire protection.
Fire protection services.
Volunteer Fire Department.
Fire hall with Hopeall.
Fire Department and Garbage Collection.
Dog catcher.
Fire equipment.
Dispatch services.
Fire department.
Winter snow clearing.
Fire and emergency.
Waste Management
Four Towns form a Regional Council to guide common issues.
Playground.
Fire services.
Fire department and waste management site.
Fire department.
Arena.
Our Fire Department is operated by the Hodges Cove Local Service District.
LSD's should be allowed to own walking trails and other community enhancements. Why should the LSD structure be disadvantaged, its just a more simplified model that can work efficiently with the proper supports. Those that suggest that only incorporated town models work should really assess the financial shape of each town. A large portion of the incorporated towns are unsustainable as they are and all intents and purposes, bankrupt. Some of this as
Broad Cove Pond Water System serves -----, Broad Cove and South -----.
Seaside Volunteer Fire Department in South ----- services Blaketown-New Harbour.

Fire protection services and emergency planning.
Garbage, volunteer firefighting.
Fire department.
Fire protection.
Fire and emergency services and garbage collection.
Volunteer Fire Department Services.
Hopeall.
Garbage.
Fire and garbage.
Fire fighting services.
Fire department.
shared fire services with 5 other municipalities. Our LSD pays 13.9% of the budget.
Fire Department with other communities on Eastport Peninsula and Waste disposal with fire fighting

**Q11a: Please indicate who provides fire protection services to your community:**

**If other, please indicate:**

Volunteer Fire Department.
Volunteer Fire Department.
We have no coverage.
We don't have fire protection now. We were approved under Eastern Regional Service Board (ERSB) but when cabin owners got waste collecting cancelled lost fire protection.
Volunteer fire department in Mckays.
Codroy Valley Volunteer Fire Department.
Volunteer Fire Department.
Volunteer.
Volunteers.
Volunteer Fire Department.
A volunteer fire department exist in the area, however, it is completely independent of the LSD.
Volunteer.
We live in an area with several local service districts and the fire department services all so it is more regional.
Volunteer fire department.
Community volunteer fire department.
Community ran organization funded by volunteers and fundraising.
Volunteer Fire Department, community pays by way of fundraising and volunteer time.
Local fire department.
Seaside fire department.
Municipal Fire Protection.
Volunteers from multiple local communities.
One neighbouring municipality has a formal agreement to provide service, however we do not pay for it. Another closer community has responded to fires in our area as well.
Random East Fire Department and Random West also has been known to respond as
Volunteer.
Volunteer Fire Department.
Municipality.
Volunteer Fire Department.
Local volunteer fire department.
Volunteer Firefighter Brigade.
Volunteer Fire Department.
Town of Old Perlican.
Volunteer fire department.
Combination of our LSD and the Town of Leading Tickles.
Volunteer Fire Department.
Volunteer.
I practice fire prevention and have my own firefighting equipment and am trained in its use.
Local fire department.
We do not have fire protection. Wanted Eastern Regional Service Board (ERSB) to provide the services but couldn't because they don't do our garbage.
volunteers
we do not pay. Bingo, card games, cold plates
shared services with 5 other municipalities. We are the only LSD

it's a joint venture with all communities on the east port pennisula

**Q11b: Approximately how much do you pay annually for fire protection services?**

Unknown as received first bill breaking down.
Unknown.
\$180.00
\$50.00
Included with garbage fees.
\$270.00 for fire and garbage pick up.
\$250.00
I don't know.
\$120.00
\$25.00
\$1000.00 for the community.
\$95.00
\$200.00
No charge. Fee is covered within water supply charges.
Unknown.
Whatever we spend at fundraisers.
Local service fee of \$183.27 with no breakdown.
5.00 per month.
\$100.00
\$60.00
\$100.00
\$0.00
\$0.00
\$200.00
\$0.00
\$70.00
Pay the cost if a response is needed.
\$175.00 (Garbage collection and fire protection services combined)
\$70.00
\$70.00
\$0.00
\$0.00
\$150.00
\$270.00 per year - includes fire protection & garbage collection.
Not don't have fire protection.
\$0.00
\$2,800.00
\$100.00
\$75.00
\$200.00
\$45.00
\$0.00
\$270.00 for fire protection and garbage pickup.
\$0.00
\$270.00

Approximately \$5,000.00 - \$7,000.00.
\$30 per year.
\$50.00
\$270.00
\$200.00
Donation.
By way of the Fire Department fundraising, approximately \$75.
What I pay is none of governments business.
\$100.00
\$240.00
Nil. Paid for by fundraising.
\$125.00
\$50.00 per year.
\$2,700.00
Nothing.
\$100.00
\$90.00
None.
\$50 per household.
N/A
Nil.
\$200.00
\$0.00
Donation, no set amount.
\$250.00
\$50.00
\$185.00
\$60.00
\$40.00
\$400.00
\$68.00
Nil.
There is no annual fee. The volunteer fire department have several fundraisers to support the service.
Unsure.
N/A
Approximately \$25 in fundraisers.
Zero.
\$0.00
Combined fee for all services \$200.00.
\$0.00
\$30.00
Nil.
\$100.00 fundraising.
\$60.00
\$70.00
Part of basic fee of fire and garbage collection service \$260.00.

Unknown we pay \$480.00 for annual services.
\$30.00
\$200.00
\$50.00
\$50.00
\$150.00
\$350.00
\$310.00 includes Fire Protection and Garbage Disposal.
\$60.00 a year.
\$60.00
\$60.00
\$200.00
\$3.00
Not sure.
\$100.00
Not sure.
\$55.00
\$25.00
\$250.00
\$60.00
\$60.00
\$150.00
\$50.00
\$25.00
\$150.00
Unsure.
\$100.00
\$180.00 approximately.
\$150.00
\$175.00
\$150.00
\$36.00 and ongoing fundraising and in-kind.
N/A
\$180.00
\$150.00
\$150.00
\$150.00
\$40.00
\$250.00
?
\$580.00
\$150.00
\$186.00
Included with Property Taxes.
\$50.00
\$150.00
\$175.00



\$300.00
\$200.00
\$30.00?
Included in Property Tax.
Unsure.
\$350.00 for fire protection plus garbage collection.
A donation if you want to pay.
Nil.
\$200.00
\$0.00
Difficult to fix a dollar figure to this as we pay a regular annual fee and contribute to any fund raising efforts they engage in.
\$150.00
\$200.00
\$0.00
\$210.00
We donate.
\$100.00
\$45.00
Unknown. Nothing?
\$250.00 from the committee.
\$65.00 per household.
\$50.00
\$36.00
Unsure because it is included with the garbage bill.
\$25.00
\$40.00
\$0.00
\$11.00
\$100.00
Approx. \$120.00 yearly.
\$200.00
\$100.00
Not Sure.
\$0.00
\$50.00
Personally, \$85.00
I don't know.
\$50.00
Unsure.
Don't know.
\$120.00
\$3.00 per month.
\$30.00
\$60.00
We receive a bill for services. Isn't broken down.
\$60.00

\$100.00
\$200.00
\$140.00
Unsure.
\$80.00
N/A
Unknown.
\$300.00
\$80.00
\$270.00
Pay \$30.00 per year through donation.
Approximately \$180.00
\$150.00
\$36.00
\$100.00
Unknown.
\$120.00
N/A
Unknown.
\$60.00
\$0.00
Not sure.
\$60.00
\$70.00
\$155.00
\$100.00
None.
\$205.00
\$49.00
\$36.00
Unknown.
By donation- \$100.00
\$150.00
\$200.00
\$35.00 a year.
\$0.00
\$200.00
It's a fundraiser.
\$0.00
\$50.00
\$0.00
\$150.00
\$45.00
\$100.00? Maybe. It's included with the service fees, but I don't know how much is for fire protection and how much is for garbage.
\$36.00
\$160.00

\$200.00
\$0.00
Nothing. It is a volunteer service.
Zero.
\$170 total per year.
Don't have the service, but we want it.
\$80.00
Not sure.
\$150.00
\$225.00
Don't know.
\$183.27
\$40.00?
Included in water and garbage fee.
\$0.00
\$60.00
\$100.00
Included in garbage collection.
\$270.00
\$270.00
\$270.00
\$270.00
\$85.00
\$80.00
\$85.00
\$150.00
\$70.00
\$90.00
\$90.00
\$180.00

**Q12a: Please indicate who provides curbside waste collection services to your community:  
If other, please indicate**

Containerized Sanitization Ltd. Contracted through bidding process.
CNWM.
We have no waste collection at curb side. Only original part of community has service.
Don't have waste collection, lost it when cabin owners got government to cancel it in these areas.
Central Newfoundland Waste Management.
Codroy Valley Waste Management.
Local Waste Disposal Committee.
A Waste Disposal Committee exist in the area, however, it is completely independent of the LSD. It is an excellent service in view of the minimal annual fee.
Codroy Valley waste management.
Eastern waste management.
Eastern Waste.
City of St. John's.
Municipality.
Used to be Eastern Regional Service Board (ERSB) which was forced upon the cabin area. Has since stopped because majority don't want it and we pay privately for road work.
Private Contractor.
Town council through our taxes.
I provide my own waste collection and recycling service.
Don't have curbside collection, had it but was stopped by government. Gave in to selfish cabin owners.
LSD gets a Private Contractor
LSD gets a Private Contractor

**Q12b: Approximately how much do you pay annually for curbside waste collection services?**

Unknown as received first bill breaking down.
\$260.00
\$180.00
\$50.00
\$260.00
Included with fire protection service.
\$270.00
\$240.00
I don't know.
\$500.00
Not Sure.
\$180.00
\$246.00 for each household.
\$180.00
\$200.00
\$198.00
Unknown.
\$100.00
\$198.45
Don't know.
\$240.00
\$200.00
\$180.00
\$100.00
\$400.00
\$200.00
\$180.00
\$200.00
\$180.00
\$175.00
\$185.00
\$180.00
\$175.00 (Garbage collection and fire protection services combined)
\$185.00
\$100.00
\$362.00
\$0.00
\$180.00
\$270.00 per year - includes fire protection and garbage collection.
\$200.00
\$200.00
Nothing don't have collections.
\$500.00
\$177.00
\$100.00 annual.

\$180.00
\$210.00
\$200.00
\$180.00
\$240.00
\$480.00 included are recyclables.
\$270.00 per year for garbage and fire protection.
\$0.00
\$270.00
Approx. \$25,000.00
\$360.00
\$216.00 per year.
\$180.00
\$270.00
\$200.00
\$360.00
\$100.00
What I pay is none of governments business.
Unknown.
\$195.00
\$200.00
\$250.00
\$180.00 per year
\$5,700.00
Nothing, we remove ourselves. However a private company will do it for \$2.00 a bag.
\$150.00
\$260.00
180.00 per year
\$147 per household
\$200.00/yr.
\$200.00
??
\$100.00
\$200.00
\$300.00
\$240.00
\$180.00
\$240.00
\$180.00
\$500.00
\$200.00 per year.
\$0.00
\$200.00 per annum.
\$180.00
\$200.00
\$200.00

\$200.00
Unsure.
\$200.00
\$180.00
\$180.00
\$180.00
\$100.00
\$200.00
Combined fee for all services \$200.00.
\$200.00
\$180.00
\$200.00
\$180.00
\$200.00 a year.
\$250.00
\$180.00
Part of basic fee of fire and garbage collection service \$260.00.
Unknown we pay \$480.00 for annual all services.
\$170.00
\$220.00
\$125.00
\$180.00
\$180.00
\$150.00
\$310.00 includes Fire Protection and Garbage Disposal. I'm not sure of the breakdown.
\$450.00
\$250.00
\$250.00
\$200.00
\$180.00
Not sure.
\$120.00
Unsure I just pay one tax bill. It is efficient.
\$300.00
\$180.00
\$300.00
\$250.00
\$250.00
\$180.00
\$75.00
\$180.00
\$185.00
Unsure.
\$100.00
\$180.00
\$180.00

\$180.00
\$180.00
\$168.00
N/A
\$200.00
\$180.00
\$180.00
\$216.00
60\$
\$200.00
?
Vacant lot, no services.
\$180.00
\$180.00
Included with Property Tax.
\$180.00
\$250.00
\$175.00
\$150.00
\$180.00
\$200.00
? (My mother in law pays it).
Included in Municipal Taxes.
Unsure.
\$350.00 for fire protection plus garbage collection.
\$200.00
I do not subscribe but I know there is a yearly rate and a seasonal rate available.
\$200.00
\$0.00
My understanding is that whether or not a community is Incorporated or Unincorporated, annual cost is the same.
\$180.00
\$180.00
\$380.00
\$300.00
\$185.00
\$185.00
\$180.00
\$180.00
Unknown dollar amount. Inclusive of our annual fee.
\$180.00
Approx.\$2000.00.
\$20.00
\$113.00
\$150.00
\$140.00



\$100.00
\$360.00
\$180.00
Approx. \$150.00 yearly.
\$180.00
\$100.00
Not sure.
\$0.00
Personally, \$85.00.
Part of our \$520.00 annual payment.
\$200.00
Unsure.
\$0.00
\$180.00
Probably \$120.00.
\$180.00
\$180.00
\$180.00
\$200.00
\$500.00
\$180.00
\$180.00
\$180.00
Unsure.
\$80.00
\$175.00
Unsure.
\$180.00
\$200.00
\$150.00
Included with fire protection.
Approx. \$180.00
\$180.00
\$300.00 (includes garbage and water fees)
\$100.00
\$12.00 a month.
\$230.00
\$200.00
Unknown.
\$250.00
\$75.00 ish
Annual flat tax to municipality in range of \$600.00-\$800.00.
\$180.00
\$180.00
\$100.00
Unknown.
\$140.00

\$180.00
\$240.00
Included with our yearly Town Fees.
\$25.00
\$180.00
\$180.00
\$180.00 per year.
\$260.00
\$200.00
\$100.00
\$170.00 per household.
Zero. I do not use.
\$180.00
\$180.00
\$280.00
\$100.00 Maybe. It's included with the service fees, but I don't know how much is for fire protection and how much is for garbage.
\$168.00
\$160.00
\$180.00
\$0.00
\$180.00
\$180.00
\$170.00 per year total services.
\$150.00
Not sure \$350.00 for waste and fire protection.
\$180.00
\$180.00
Don't know.
\$189.45
\$180.00
\$240.00
\$200.00
\$100.00
\$240.00
unsure exact amount
\$240.00
\$270.00
\$270.00
\$270.00
\$270.00
\$270.00
\$270.00
\$180.00
\$85.00
\$180.00
\$20.00
\$250.00

\$180.00
\$180.00
\$180.00
\$360.00

**Q13a: Please indicate who provides recycling collection services to your community:  
If other, please indicate**

Containerized Sanitization Ltd. Contracted through bidding process.
CNWM
Not available.
Don't have that either.
Central Newfoundland Waste Management.
We take care of our own recycling.
Local Waste Disposal Committee.
Included with curbside collection.
Do my own recycling.
It is included with the \$200.00 annual waste collection fee. Recyclables end up at the local MMSB site.
Codroy Valley waste management.
Eastern waste management.
Eastern Waste.
Take them to the MMSB.
We do our own recycling
We do our own recycling.
Part of my waste fees.
City of St. John's.
None at this time.
No recycling provided.
Town council.
Haven't got that either.
We bring our own recycling.
can be picked up by garbage service
included in curbside
included in curbside
included in curbside

**Q13b: Approximately how much do you pay annually for recycling services?**

Unknown as this bill first to break down fees.
Included in garbage fee.
\$50.00
One fee for garbage/recycling and fire service.
\$270.00
I don't know.
\$500.00
Don't know.
Included in waste amount.
This is included in waste management.
Included in our \$180.00 yearly fee.
Included in waste collection costs.
Unknown.
Included above in 12(b)
I don't know.
Included with garbage fee of \$189.45.
Don't know.
Included with waste management fee.
Part of waste collection.
Included with waste.
\$200.00
\$200.00
\$200.00
Nil.
\$70.00
Included in 12(b)
Included in curbside collection.
Included with garbage collection.
\$0.00
\$180.00
Included in Annual Fees.
Not applicable.
Nothing don't have any type of collection.
\$0.00
Included in garbage fees.
\$100.00 annual.
Recycling is included in the \$180.00 indicated in section 12b.
Included in garbage cost.
\$0.00
N/A
\$0.00
\$480.00 includes garbage.
It is included in garbage pickup.
\$0.00
\$0.00

Joint cost with waste removal.
Included in garbage collection.
\$180.00
\$0.00
\$0.00
None
We don't pay, we get paid for our recycling.
Unknown.
Included in \$195.00.
Included in garbage collection.
Included in 12b.
Included in the \$180.00 we pay for curbside pickup.
Nothing - bring it back to my primary residence.
Included in garbage fee.
Included garbage collection fees as noted above.
None.
\$0.00
N/A
Included in garbage pick up.
??
Included in Waste Disposal fee.
Included in garbage bill.
Don't know.
N/A
\$0.00
Recycling services do not exist outside of Eastern Regional Service Board (ERSB) and MMSB, so minimal.
Included in waste fee. \$200.00
As above.
\$200.00
Unsure.
\$50.00
Included in garbage pickup.
Included in curbside waste removal fees.
Unsure, does bottle and cans.
\$0.00
\$180.00
Included in waste pick up.
\$0.00
N/A
\$0.00
Part of the basic garbage fee.
\$170.00
Included in above.
Included in the \$180.00
\$180.00
Included with garbage.

\$0.00
None
\$0.00
Not sure.
\$0.00
Unsure, I just pay one tax bill.
Included in the \$180.00.
\$100.00
\$0.00
\$75.00
Nil.
\$0.00
Unsure.
\$0.00
It is included with garbage pickup.
Included with general waste,
Included in waste collection.
N/A
N/A
\$0.00
\$200.00
\$0.00
Vacant lot
Included with garbage.
Don't know breakdown of service fees.
Included with garbage.
\$0.00
\$0.00
Included in Waste Collection Fees.
Included in our garbage fee. Same company.
\$0.00
Unsure.
Included with garbage collection.
Part of waste disposal fees.
Included.
\$0.00
Recycling material is collected in coalition with curbside waste, donated to local charities or taken to the recycling depot.
Both included in waste and recycling total \$180.00.
\$0.00
Zero, not available in our area or even close by.
\$185.00
\$0.00
0 - included in garbage.
N/A
Same as above.
Unknown.

\$0.00
N/A
\$0.00
\$0.00
It goes in with our garbage.
\$180.00
Not sure.
Included in waste management fee.
I don't know.
Included in the \$200.00 above.
Included with the garbage fee.
\$0.00
Regional board charges.
\$0, included in \$180.00 fee.
\$0.00
Included with waste.
Unsure.
Included in waste collection service.
N/A
Included in Garbage.
Included with waste collection.
Included with garbage.
Included in waste pick up fees.
Included with waste disposal.
\$0.00
Included in answer 12b.
We recycle in St. John's.
See above.
\$0.00
N/A
\$0.00
Included with waste collection.
Unknown.
\$0.00
Unsure.
Included in waste fee.
\$0.00
Inc
\$50.00
Unknown.
Included in waste collection fee.
Included in waste collection.
\$0.00
Included with our yearly Town Fees.
\$25.00
Included.
Included in waste fee.



\$0.00
N/A
N/A
Included in the garbage pick-up fee above.
Our garbage truck takes garbage and recycling.
\$180.00
Zero
\$170.00 per year total services.
Nothing.
N/A, included with waste collection.
Included in garbage collection.
Included in garbage fee.
\$120.00
Included in garbage fee \$189.45.
\$0.00
Included in garbage fee.
\$0.00
\$100.00
included in garbage fee
\$240.00
\$270.00
\$0.00
\$0.00
\$85.00
\$20.00
\$250.00
\$180.00
\$180.00

**Q14a: Please indicate who provides waste disposal (i.e. landfill, dump, transfer station, etc.) services to your community:**

**If other, please indicate**

CNWM
No one. Most people bring it themselves to the neighbouring community and put in a random garbage box. Some dump in woods or in gravel pit . I don't dump in those places.
Central Newfoundland Waste Management.
Eastern Waste Management
We bring it back to our primary residence.
No service. I take it to waste disposal yard and pay for it myself.
Transfer Station.
Must take to local dump yourself.
As above.
Eastern waste management.
Part of my waste fees.
City of St. John's.
Municipality.
Containerized Sanitation.
Private septic systems.
Western Regional Waste Management. I don't know what category they fall under above.
I use the regional landfill at robin hood bay.
No one, we bring it to one of our family members and give it to them. And it's picked up by that Towns collector.
LSD through private contractor
each house have their own systems

**Q15a: Please indicate who provides public water services to your community:**

**If other, please indicate**

My own private well.
Self.
I have my own artesian well and paid for the installation myself. I also pay for its upkeep and maintenance.
There is no public water service. Everyone drills their own well /spring or shares it with neighbours.
Self.
I have my own well.
Community well.
We don't have a town water system where I live. I have my own well.
Have drilled wells.
Personal wells.
No water service.
No public water services, we have our own private well.
There is no water provided - we have a well.
I have my own water supply (artesian well and spring).
My own well.
Local Water committee.
We have our own well.
Private well.
I dug my own well.
Artisan well.
I provide my own water to my house.
I have an artesian well on my property.
Well.
No public water services available.
We have water wells.
Own well.
Community water services.
I have an artesian well.
Private artesian well.
No water services provided.
My well.
Private well.
There is no water and sewer in this community.
There are community wells and private wells. I have private.
Private artesian wells.
Own well.
Individual wells per household/ or group wells.
Have our own water supply.
Private wells.
I have my own well.
Have well.
Our own Deep Well.
The Town.

I have a well.
We have our own.
Community well.
Private wells on each property.
Have my own water and sewer system.
Residents have private wells.
I have a private artesian well.
City of St. John's.
LSD but our supply is unreliable.
Municipality.
Local Water Committee.
Wells.
Flat Bay Band.
None provided.
Only two small communities out of the five have a water supply. Mine does not.
We have an artesian well.
Our own artisan well.
No public water system, each house is responsible for their own water/well.
No public water services. Private wells.
Self owned.
Personal artesian well.
My well.
Artisan well.
No one.
There are several community wells and individual wells.
each household provies own indivisual artesian well
each household provides their own water supply

**Q15b: Approximately how much do you pay annually for public water services?**

Unknown as received first bill breaking down.
N/A
\$50.00
LSD provides well pump services to those without water; however, my household has its own water well.
I don't know.
\$900.00
Not sure.
\$225.00
\$300.00 per household.
Two separate communities. North West Brook pays \$268.00 per year. Queen's Cove pays \$315.00 per year.
\$200.00
\$168.00
N/A
\$980.00 per year.
Not provided.
\$0.00
I got my own water system.
Dug well - no municipal charge.
\$200.00
\$150.00
We don't have water service fees.
Nil.
We do not have a public water system.
\$120.00
Included in fees listed previously. Pay \$36.00 per month for all services.
\$0.00
\$370.00 per year.
\$110.00
\$110.00
Nothing.
\$500.00
\$0.00
N/A on a well.
\$200.00
N/A
\$360.00
\$420.00
\$0.00
\$0.00
\$370.00
\$400.00
N/A
No water service.

\$370.00
\$0.00
\$120.00
N/A
Unknown.
Include in 12b.
We have our own water supply.
\$6,300.00
Water pump / wells.
None. I have my own artesian well.
\$100.00 per year.
\$144.00 per household.
N/A
Private well.
??
\$120.00
\$150.00
Don't know.
\$120.00
N/A
\$150.00 per annum. This is the only service provided by the LSD.
Private.
N/A
N/A
Zero.
\$150.00
\$120.00
\$100.00
Nil.
\$100.00 per year.
We have our own well.
\$0.00
The so basic fee of \$370.00 per household per year for water service.
Unknown \$480.00 is for all services.
\$0.00
Included in above.
I have my own well.
\$0.00
I have my own well, so nothing.
I don't pay water fees. I have my own well.
N/A
\$50.00
N/A
\$60.00
Zero, as water and sewer are not provided.
N/A
\$120.00

\$300.00
\$0.00
\$0. I have an artesian well.
\$0.00
Private cost based on household.
N/A
\$0.00
?
Vacant lot.
Have my own artesian well.
Breakdown of service fees unknown.
Included with Property tax.
\$0.00
\$0.00
? (Mother in law pays it).
Property Tax.
\$440.00
\$0.00
\$190.00
N/A
Zero. Private Well.
\$0.00
\$120.00
N/A
Inclusive of our annual fee of \$450.00.
\$250.00
Unknown.
\$111.00
N/A
\$250.00
\$0.00
N/A
Not sure.
\$480.00
LSD does not share - part of our \$520.00 annual fee paid to LSD.
Have my own well.
Don't know.
\$35.00 for water, garbage and Fire protection.
\$285.00
\$0.00
Annual fees for all services \$408.00 annually.
\$287.00
\$120.00
\$84.00
\$95.00
\$0.00
Unsure.

Private well and sewer.
Unknown.
N/A I have a private well, but public water is available for a few.
\$380.00
\$150.00
\$300.00 for all.
\$0.00
Unknown.
\$220.00
N/A
\$185.00
\$0.00
Annual flat tax to municipality in range of \$600.00-\$800.00.
Those who are on the water supply pay \$200.00.
\$0.00
\$0.00
None.
Everyone here has wells.
\$0.00
\$202.00
\$0.00
Not applicable (we have a well).
\$0.00
N/A
No services.
Have own water. I do not know what other people pay for water services.
\$180.00
\$360.00
No public water service is available. I supply my own potable water.
Zero.
\$0.00
Don't have public water service, would love to have it.
\$96.00
\$0.00
\$120.00 per year for a well that serves 25 households.
Don't know.
\$0.00
I have my own artesian well.
\$300.00
we have our own well
\$370.00
\$370.00
\$370.00
\$370.00
\$370.00
\$370.00
\$100.00
\$3,000.00



\$190.00
----------

\$190.00
----------

**Q16b: Approximately how much do you pay annually for sewer services?**

I paid for my own private sewer service.
\$0.00
\$50.00
Only private sewer systems in this area.
On Septic.
Nothing.
\$0.00
No sewers.
\$980.00 per year.
Service not provided.
\$0.00
I got my own approved sewer system.
No sewer service available.
We don't pay a fee for sewer service.
Nil.
We do not have a public sewer system.
Own my own sewer.
\$0.00
\$0.00
\$0.00
Nothing.
\$0.00
\$0.00
N/A on septic and field.
\$0.00
We pay a sewer company when it has to be cleaned out every 3-4 years.
None. I have my own well.
\$0.00
\$0.00
Nil.
N/A
No sewer services.
\$0.00
\$0.00
\$500.00
N/A
Unknown.
\$600.00 average annual cost of installation and maintenance of septic system spread over 25 years.
We pay our own septic systems.
We have septic system
Septic.
None. Individual septic's in our LSD.
N/A
No sewer services.

\$0.00
\$0.00
N/A
N/A - not available in the area.
Private.
\$0.00
N/A
Not sure.
Zero.
\$0.00
\$0.00
Nil
\$0.00
No fees apply.
\$0.00
All properties have septic systems as per government regulations at the time of installation.
\$0.00
N/A
I have my own septic.
I have my own septic system, so nothing.
My own sewer system.
I don't pay a fee. I maintain my own septic system.
N/A
N/A
\$0.00
Zero as this service is not provided.
Have my own system N/A.
\$0.00
\$0.00 I have a septic system.
\$0.00
Private cost based on household.
N/A
\$0.00
?
Vacant lot.
Have a sewer approved by serviceNL.
N/A
Included with property tax.
\$0.00
\$0.00
Property Taxes.
\$200.00
\$0.00
Again; difficult to place a dollar figure here. Could be in the hundreds or thousands depending on system efficiency.
N/A

Zero, as we have to front these bills.
\$0.00
\$0.00
N/A
\$0.00 regular maintenance at personal expense.
Unknown.
Got my own styptic tank.
\$0.00
N/A
Not sure.
Nil.
Have a personal sceptic system.
Don't know.
I do not pay this fee. We have no public water supply in my community.
Private septic.
\$0.00
Septic system.
\$0.00
\$0.00
Unsure.
Private responsibility.
N/A All septic.
Not offered in our town to my knowledge.
Own well and septic.
Not installed in community.
\$300.00 for all.
\$0.00
\$0.00
N/A
\$0.00
Annual flat tax to municipality in range of \$600.00-\$800.00.
\$0.00
\$0.00
N/A
Everyone here has septic.
\$0.00
\$0.00
Not applicable. We have a septic system.
\$0.00
N/A
We don't have sewer services. We have our own sewer system.
\$0.00
Zero.
\$0.00
Nothing.
N/A

Zero.
Each individual has their own.
??
\$1.00
None.
everyone has there own septic tank

**Q17a: Please indicate who provides road maintenance services to your community:  
If other, please indicate**

Provincial roads department. For main road.
Works Services and Transportation.
Government of Newfoundland and Labrador Highways.
Department of Transportation.
Government.
Province.
Province.
Department of Transportation (the same as in adjacent incorporated communities).
Provincial Government, as far as I'm aware. We're not allowed to fix it ourselves.
NL highways.
Provincial Government.
Department of Highways.
Department of highways does Main road.
Department Highways.
Department of Transportation.
Department of Highways.
Provincial Government road.
Department of Transportation.
Department of highway.
Department of highways.
DWST
Department of Highways.
Department of Highways.
We residents have to hire private contractor to provide it.
Very little road maintenance.
Provincial Government as our road is classified as a provincial highway.
Road committee.
My tax dollars, Department of Transportation.
Department of Transportation.
Provincial transportation.
Local contractor paid by committee.
Government.
Private contractor hired by committee for summer only; we are full time residents and don't have road maintenance from Sept-May - totally ridiculous.
Department of Transportation and my taxes.
Department of Transportation.
Provincial government.
Department of Transportation.
Government through personal income taxes.
Community committee.
Department of works services and transportation.
Newfoundland works services and transportation.
Department of highways.
NL government.
Department of transportation.

Our own committee.
Myself and that's the way it should be.
Department of Transportation.
Service NL.
Department of highways NL.
Provincial.
Department of transportation.
Department of Transportation, and this service is poor!
Department of highways.
Government of NL.
Province.
Private lanes with more then more dwelling is the responsibility of the property owners. Secondary roads is a provincial responsibility. Properties that are situated on resource/woods/agricultural/fishing-beach roads are the responsibility of the currently named provincial department. I department of resources.
Transportation and infrastructure.
Province.
Provincial government. Same pot of money the municipalities get their roads done from. Minus the part where the council spends the money on something else.
Department of transportation.
Highways.
Department of highways.
Department of transportation.
Province.
Department of transportation.
Some owners pay a yearly fee for road maintenance.
Department of transportation.
Provincial government. Same pot of money the municipalities get their roads done from. Minus the part where the council spends the money on something else.
Provincial government.
Local roads, no one.
A private company on side roads, government on main roads.
Department of highways.
Government.
Provincial Department of Transportation.
We only have provincial highway.
Department of highways.
Government of NL.
Government.
Provincial government.
Department of Works, Service and Transportation.
Department of Transportation.
Department of Highways.
Government.
Department of transportation I believe. Our part of the community haven't been repaired in years.
The Town.

Department of transportation.
Department of highways.
Provincial Government Department of Transportation and Works.
Department of Highways.
Transportation and works.
Government.
Department of Highways.
I am not sure.
Department of transportation.
Provincial Government.
Transportation and Infrastructure
City of St. John's.
Department of Highways.
Provincial government.
Department of Highways.
Department of Transportation.
Department of Highways.
Department of transportation as there is only one main highway through town with some smaller branch roads.
Government.
Transportation and Infrastructure.
Transportation and Works.
Province.
Department of Transportation.
Provincial road.
Department of Transportation for main road, no one for side roads.
Department of Transportation.
Provincial government.
Provincial roads.
Provincial Government.
My property fronts on a provincial highway.
Provincial government.
Government of NL - gosh this is a poor survey. Again, a likely option was left out.
Province.
Provincial Government.
Department of transportation does main road and the town council does the remainder.
Department of Highways.
Department of Transportation.
Government of NL.
Maintenance lol.
The residents on the road.
Province.
Newfoundland Department of Transportation.
Roads maintained only when there is an election.
Department of Highways/Transportation.
NL Government.
Government of NL.





**Q17b: Approximately how much do you pay annually for road maintenance services?**

Provincial taxes redirected from pay
\$0.00
\$50.00
No fee that I am aware of.
Not sure.
\$0.00
Federal Government.
Unknown. Paid for by my various provincial taxes.
Income tax, sales tax, surcharges on gas - too much.
N/A
Unknown. Paid for by my various provincial taxes.
\$200.00
Part of provincial taxes.
\$0.00
The government keeps our gas tax money and does not give it back to the incorporated towns.
Included in provincial income tax.
The Department of Highways for Newfoundland does the maintenance on our roads. No fee just annual taxes.
From provincial taxation.
Nothing to the LSD but through provincial taxes.
Nil.
Taxes on gas.
\$250.00
Included in taxes paid on gas, supplies, etc.
No direct payment.
No direct payment.
\$100.00 year.
\$0.00
Pay taxes and lots of fuel tax.
N/A I pay through payment of taxes!
\$300.00
\$0.00
\$0.00
Nil.
\$90.00
\$0.00
Provincial Government contracts.
\$150.00 for summer road maintenance only - ridiculous.
\$400.00
\$0.00
N/A
Way too much for the poor service we receive.
Varies with provincial income tax.
\$150.00 a year.
\$350.00

None.
40% of my income in taxes.
Gas taxes and provincial taxes.
\$0.00
Taxes.
\$150.00
How about the NL levy and the other 40% tax that gets taken from me, so I guess 50K a year.
Department of Transportation.
\$0.00
Nil.
Taxes cover this.
Unsure.
N/A
\$0.00
Zero.
\$0.00
\$0.00
Nil.
\$0.00
\$0.00
Same as everyone else who uses the provincial road system.
\$0.00
N/A
Too much!! I pay federal and provincial income tax, HST and tax on gains that should take care of our roads!
\$0.00
\$0.00
\$0.00
None
\$0.00
\$200.00
N/A
\$0.00
You tell me, we're all taxed equally for it.
Percentage of income tax.
Nil.
I don't pay for the road services.
\$0.00
\$0.00
\$
N/A
N/A
\$0.00
Income tax vehicle license etc.
?
Nil.

\$0.00
N/A
Included with Property tax.
\$0.00
\$0.00
Property Taxes.
Unsure.
\$0.00
\$200.00
\$200.00
Consistent with many incorporated communities throughout NL.
Provincial Taxes.
My provincial taxes.
\$300.00 per year each cabin owner.
Unknown. Inclusive in annual fee?
Depends on winter snowfall approx. \$6000.00 annually.
Included in my tax dollars, from every dollar I spend.
\$0.00
N/A
Not sure.
\$185.00
Nil. All roads are provincial government. We have only main roads. As in all towns they are provincial government maintained.
I don't know. Not shared to us by LSD. Part of our \$520 annual fee paid to LSD.
N/A
\$0.00
Gas Tax and my regular taxes.
\$0.00
\$0.00
\$0.00
Unsure.
N/A. It is part of the taxes I pay to the Provincial Government. Our community does not receive any grants from the province.
\$0.00
N/A
\$0.00
N/A
\$250.00
\$100.00
Included in Provincial taxes.
\$0.00
Unknown.
N/A
\$0.00
Zero to LSD. Part of provincial taxes, but no idea of proportion.
Annual flat tax to municipality in range of \$600.00-\$800.00.
Department of Highways, side roads are done on contract by Government.

\$0.00
\$0.00
Unknown.
N/A
\$0.00
\$0.00
\$500.00
Lots of taxes.
?
\$0.00
\$100.00
N/A
Zero.
Provincial income tax would cover these infrastructure costs.
I don't think I pay anything towards road maintenance.
\$0.00
Zero.
Provincial Taxes.
\$100.00
Several thousands of dollars paid in taxes to the federal and provincial governments.
Included in taxes we pay to province.
??
\$0.00
None.
unsure
taxes from income
provincial taxes
we pay Govt Taxes HST

**Q18a: Please indicate who provides snow clearing services to your community:**

**If other, please indicate**

Provincial roads Department.
Works Services and Transportation.
Department of highways...maybe...I am not sure.
Department of Transportation.
Department of Highways.
Government.
Province.
Province.
Department of highways...maybe...I am not sure.
Department of Transportation, the same as in adjacent incorporated communities.
Provincial government.
NL highways.
Provincial government.
Department of Highways.
Department Highways.
Department of Transportation.
Department of Highways.
Provincial Government.
Department of Transportation.
Department highways.
DWST
Department of Highways.
Department of Highways.
Residents have to pay for it.
Provincial Government as our road is classified as a provincial highway.
Road committee.
My tax dollars.
Department of Transportation.
Provincial transportation.
Government.
Department of Transportation and my taxes.
Department of Transportation.
Provincial government.
Department of Transportation.
Department Of highways personal income taxes.
Community committee.
Department of works services and transportation.
Newfoundland works services and transportation.
Department of highways.
NL government.
Department of transportation.
Contractor through committee.
Same as above.
Service NL.
Department of highways NL.

Provincial.
Department of transportation.
Department of highway.
Government of NL.
Province.
The province for the secondary and side roads. Local service by way of contractor for access the fire hall pump houses. Contractor to access the mail box turn around. This site was created and maintained by the local service district at no cost to Canada post.
Transportation and infrastructure.
Province.
DOT
Department of highways.
Department of transportation.
Province.
DOT
We provide for ourselves.
Department of transportation.
The Province pays through taxes. Again, same pot of money the municipalities draw from minus being filtered through an additional level of government and bureaucracy.
Department of works and transportation.
No one.
Department of highway.
Government.
Provincial Department of Transportation.
I provide my own.
Same as previous.
Government of NL.
Government.
Provincial government.
Department of Works, Service and Transportation.
Department of Transportation.
Department of Highways.
Government.
The Town.
Have to pay myself.
Department of transportation.
Department of highways.
A neighbour with a truck and plow.
Provincial Government.
Department of highways.
Transportation and works.
Department of highways.
Department of highways...maybe...I am not sure.
Department of transportation.
Provincial Government.
Transportation and Infrastructure.
City of St. John's.

Department of Highways.
Provincial government.
Department of Highways.
Department of Transportation.
Department of Highways.
Department of Transportation.
Government NL.
TI
Transportation and Works.
Province.
Department of Transportation.
Province.
Department of Transportation.
Provincial government.
Provincial Roads.
Provincial Government.
The province plows the highway.
Provincial government.
Government of NL - gosh this is a poor survey. Again, a likely option was left out.
Province.
Government.
Department transportation and town council.
Department of Highways.
Department of Transportation.
We do this ourselves.
Government of NL.
Provincial Government.
Province.
Newfoundland Department of Transportation.
My neighbours plow the lane.
Department of Highways/Transportation.
NL Government.
Government of NL.
see q 17b
Department of highways some times.
Province.
Hire a private contractor.
Department of works, services and transportation.
High roads clears snow on government owned roads.
T&W
Department of Highways.
DOH
Department of transportation.
Department of transportation.
cost shared with provincial government
DOTC
Department of transportation.





**Q18b: Approximately how much do you pay annually for snow clearing services?**

Government salary taxes redirected to government for services.
\$0.00
\$50.00
No fee that I am aware of.
Not Sure.
\$0.00
\$0.00
\$0.00
Unknown. Paid for with my various provincial taxes.
See above.
N/A
Unknown. Paid for with my various provincial taxes.
\$300.00
Part of provincial taxes.
\$0.00
Same as question 17b
Included in provincial income tax.
Department of Highways.
From Provincial taxation.
Income tax.
\$250.00
Included in taxes paid on gas, supplies, etc.
No direct payment.
No direct payment.
\$350.00
\$0.00
N/A I pay through payment of taxes!
Included in road fee above.
\$0.00
I pay someone to do my driveway when I need too.
None. Plough my own driveway.
\$0.00
\$0.00
Provincial Government contracts.
No snow clearing provided even though there are full time residents. Time for Department of Transportation to take it over again.
\$400.00
\$0.00
N/A
Way too much for the poor service we receive.
Income taxes.
Road and snow together are \$150 per year.
Included in annual road fee.
\$0.00
40% of my income.
Provincial taxes.

\$0.00
Tax dollars.
\$160.00
My taxes.
\$0.00
Nil.
Taxes cover this.
N/A
\$0.00
Zero.
Department of Transportation.
\$0.00
Department of highways.
Nil.
\$0.00
\$0.00
\$100.00
\$0.00
N/A
Same as previous answer....again....way too much!
\$0.00
None.
\$0.00
???????
N/A
\$0.00
Again, you tell me for the same reason listed above.
Percentage of income tax.
Nil.
\$200.00 for private driveway.
\$0.00
\$0.00
N/A
N/A
\$0.00
Income tax vehicle license etc.
?
Nil.
\$0.00
N/A
Included with Property tax.
\$0.00
\$0.00
Property Taxes.
\$500.00
\$0.00
\$350.00

Consistent with most incorporated communities throughout NL.
Provincial Taxes.
Provincial taxes.
Included in road fees.
Unknown. Inclusive in annual fee?
\$100.00
Approx. \$3000.00.
Department of Transportation.
\$0.00
N/A
Not Sure.
\$100.00
Also, main roads are snow cleared as in all municipalities. LSDs are no different. Why single out LSDs?
I don't know. Not shared with us. Part of \$520.00 annual fee paid to LSD.
N/A
Provincial taxes.
Same as above.
\$0.00
\$0.00
\$0.00
Unsure.
N/A. It is paid for from taxes paid to the Provincial government. We do not receive any grants from the province.
\$0.00
N/A
\$0.00
N/A
\$250.00
Included in Provincial taxes.
\$0.00
unknown.
N/A
\$0.00
Zero to LSD. Part of provincial taxes, but no idea of proportion.
Annual flat tax to municipality in range of \$600.00-\$800.00.
Department of Highways contract. No fee.
\$0.00
\$0.00
Unknown.
N/A
\$0.00
\$0.00
\$2000.00 (cost of gas and vehicle upkeep).
Lots of taxes.

\$0.00
N/A
Zero.
Provincial Income Tax covers these infrastructure costs.
I don't think I pay anything towards snow clearing services.
\$0.00
Zero.
Provincial Taxes.
\$350.00
Ditto.
Zero.
Included in taxes we pay provincially.
??
Unsure of cost.
\$0.00
\$0.00
None.
provincial taxes
we pay govt taxes HST
unsure
taxes from income

**Q19a: Please indicate who provides animal control services to your community:  
If other, please indicate**

Service not provided.
Service provided by private volunteers.
SPCA
It's not needed.
No one.
Neighbours.
There is no need in this community for animal control.
LSD controls roaming animals only.
Don't have it.
No animal control.
Volunteer groups.
No services are required.
Not required in this rural area.
Volunteers.
Local Improvement Committee.
We take care of our own free of charge.
Doesn't exist.
Nobody.
There is no animal control services, we do it ourselves.
Province.
This is a service that the people within this service district have opted not to have but is a service that they can bring into being by providing the service themselves or participating in a share service with the regional service board.
No service.
This service is not provided in this community.
It doesn't exist. If there's an issue. It's worked out with the animal's owners.
RCMP
No one.
No Animal Control Services.
Myself and a rescue group trap dumped and feral cats.
SPCA Clarenville and area.
City of St. John's.
We do not have animal control in our community.
None provided.
No problem with animals.
Animals roam freely.
I don't believe anyone technically provides animal control, but there is a volunteer groups for cats.
No animal control services are required. Wild predators take care of lost pets, kind residents will reunite lost pets with their owners when it is possible.
No one.
RCMP
DOW
None.

SPCA and Cat rescue
BSG Cat Rescue
local RCMP or volunteer SPCA
RCMP

**Q19b: Approximately how much do you pay annually for animal control services?**

N/A
\$0.00
Nil.
\$0.00
\$0.00
Service not required for domestic animals. Forestry and Wildlife Division handle wildlife issues.
Dinner or a drink, depending on what needs doing.
This service not provided.
Nil.
Nil.
Nil.
\$0.00
\$0.00
Nothing.
\$0.00
\$0.00
No service.
\$0.00
N/A
\$0.00
\$0.00
No animal control in the LSD.
N/A
No animal control.
\$0.00
N/A
\$0.00
N/A
Nil.
\$0.00
N/A
Included in \$180.00 paid to Eastern Regional Service Board (ERSB).
Free.
Not available in the area.
\$0.00
N/A
N/A
Zero.
Nil.
\$0.00
Not available in area.
\$0.00
\$0.00



N/A
Most people around the bay are responsible pet owners and there is NO NEED for this service.
None.
\$0.00
N/A
\$0.00
Zero, as this service is not provided.
N/A
Percentage of income tax.
Nil.
None in this area.
\$0.00
N/A
N/A
?
Vacant lot.
\$0.00
Included with Property tax.
\$0.00
\$0.00
I don't have any animals. Residents that do are responsible for their animals.
N/A
\$0.00
N/A
N/A
N/A
N/A
\$0.00
Not sure.
N/A
\$0.00
\$0.00
None.
There is no service.
N/A
No control services provided.
\$0.00
N/A
\$0.00
\$0.00
N/A
\$0.00
\$0.00
\$0.00
\$0.00
\$0.00
\$0.00

N/A
None.
\$0.00
\$0.00
Nothing.
N/A
There is no animal control in our community and has thus far not been an issue.
??
N/A
\$0.00
None.
we donate annually per charity

**Q20a: Please indicate who provides street lighting services to your community:**

**If other, please indicate**

I pay NLpower.
NL power paid by recipients.
NL POWER
NL power
Street lighting cost is responsibility of property owner provided by Newfoundland Power.
Self.
Each householder pays for any such street lighting - I do not have any.
We pay for our own individual street lights.
Paid personally to NL Power.
Paid to NL power personally.
No street lighting.
It's up to home owner to add street lights.
No streetlights.
Personal payment if you want a street light.
Pay for your own lights through Newfoundland light and power.
No street lights. Do not wish to have street lights.
Street lighting is provided by privately.
Only one public streetlight funded by the LSD and remaining lights are privately funded.
There are no street lights in this area, I have my own paid on my monthly electric bill.
Paid by individuals.
I pay for my own street light NL Power.
Does my porch light count, so me I guess, I should probably get paid for lighting up the street.
I pay for a street light on my property.
We don't have street lights here.
NL power.
There are no street lights.
I pays for my own street light.
Pay NFLD power myself for a light on the pole near my property.
We do not have street lighting and don't want it
Street lighting in public access areas are provided by the service provider. Individuals my opts to provide their own area lighting or rest an area pole light through the service of Newfoundland light and power.
No street lights provided.
Property owners adjacent to road.
No street lighting.
My self.
Home owners.
NL Power.
Home owners pay for this themselves directly through NF Power. It's efficient.
No street lighting.
No one.
Local service pay for some lighting. Other private household pay for their own.
Private Household.
No street lights in community.
My road does not have any street lights however the main road does.

The Town.
Myself.
Have my own street light along main road.
Newfoundland Power.
Provide our own.
NL power.
Everyone has their own.
NL power.
I pay for my own light.
I pay for my own streetlight.
City of St. John's.
Pay your own. I do not have.
We don't have this service.
I pay for my own street lighting.
People who wish to have street lighting pay for it themselves.
Our local service district and some home owners pay their own.
None provided.
GNL
No street lighting in our community. Some people have a street light that they pay for.
Individual.
Town council.
Very few street lights - I think residents request/pay for them.
Local residents pay individually.
Lighting services are done by individual home owners.
Don't need street lighting.
Province.
No street lights. Do not wish to have street lights.
I pay NL Power for my own street light.
I pay for my own street light.
There are no streetlights. I don't want any, they interfere with my astronomy hobby.
No street lights. Do not wish to have street lights.
Don't have street lighting.
None.
taxes
not feasible
none
community members pay for their own
community members pay for their own
responsibility of individual
Private Household.
individuals who require it provide it at own expense

each house pays for their own pole light
NL power
NL power
NL power

**Q20b: Approximately how much do you pay annually for street lighting services?**

N/A
\$0.00
\$23.00 monthly.
\$240.00
Not sure.
\$120.00
\$0.00
\$0.00
There is no charge for this service. The lighting is only in main areas of our Community.
I have my own street light erected on my garage. The operating cost is buried in my electric bill.
We pay NL Power to put in street lights where we want them. I don't know how they're billed because we never bothered.
Unknown.
Service not provided.
Nil.
\$480.00
\$360.00
\$360.00
No area street lighting.
\$70.00
There are no street lights.
\$70.00
\$0.00 don't need street lights.
\$0.00
\$0.00
Don't have town lighting.
\$300.00
? I don't have one.
N/A
\$0.00
\$0.00
Unknown due to the act that the street light fees are included with other LSD services such as water pumps, potable water dispensing unit (PWDU).
N/A
No street lights.
\$0.00
\$400.00
N/A
\$25.00
Nothing as no service provided.
Included \$350.00 annual fee for all services.
N/A
I do not have a street light.
\$0.00
\$50.00

\$240.00
Included in our local service fee.
My monthly light bill is around \$300.00 so I guess a few dollars of that.
N/A
\$230.00
\$250.00
\$0.00
N/A
N/A
Zero.
\$210.00
Nil.
\$0.00
\$20.00 month.
\$0.00
\$300.00
\$0.00
\$250.00
It's not city life...we DO NOT WANT LIGHT POLLUTION!!
\$0.00
\$240.00 a year.
I don't have a street light near my property.
\$0.00
\$260.00
N/A
\$0.00
I am not provided with this service.
Comes out of the LSD fees we pay.
\$20.00
\$25.00
Do not have. Individuals pay their own.
\$0.00
Included with general fire and service fee.
Included in fire fees.
\$300.00
N/A
Included in fees for Fire Protection.
?
Vacant lot.
\$25.00
Included with Property tax.
\$0.00
\$25.00
Property Taxes.
No street light in area.
\$0.00
Approximately \$300.00.

No street lights.
Zero as the service is not in our area.
\$0.00
None.
Unknown.
Approx. \$2700.00.
N/A
\$0.00
\$250.00
Approx. \$80.00 per year.
Not sure.
N/A
\$15.00-\$20.00 month.
\$25.00
\$25.00
\$0.00
Unknown.
There is no service.
\$0.00
N/A
No lights.
Included in annual fee.
None provided or needed.
\$0.00
N/A
Zero to LSD. Part of provincial taxes, but no idea of proportion.
\$0.00
Unknown.
I don't have a street light.
\$0.00
\$0.00
\$0.00
Included with fire protection fees.
\$0.00
N/A
Zero.
\$20.00 plus tax a month.
\$20.00
\$0.00
\$0.00
N/A
Not sure.
Included in our fire fee invoice.
\$240.00
??
Unsure of cost.
\$0.00



None.
cost shared
private
150
310

<b>Q21. Would you be willing to pay for additional local services (i.e. garbage collection, water, fire, etc.)? If yes, please indicate which services you would be willing to pay for: If other, please indicate</b>
Will not pay!!
Nothing.
None. We need nothing extra.
Waterway management.
I already pay for water, garbage, lighting and fire services.
None pay enough already.
Property value.
No.
N/A
I would pay more for better fire protection. Our volunteers will not put out a house fire as they are not fully trained to disconnect the electricity. They will only stop fires from spreading to adjacent buildings.
Snow clearing as we are full-time residents.
Already pay for road maintenance, snow clearing and garbage collection, that's all that's needed.
I would not pay for any of these services as they are all provided independently.
Due to the nature of the area the "services" referred to here will never materialize regardless of how much I am required to pay.
None of the above.
NO we already have all of the above covered.
I do all that myself free of charge, why would I want to pay someone.
A fee for service(s) is long over due in rural NL. To the best of my knowledge households in Bay St. George South pay an annual fee and it reportedly works quite well.
None everything is good like it is.
I do not want these services.
Pay enough already.
Land use enforcement to prevent exposed storage of junk vehicles, appliances, boats, construction debris, etc.
This question does not apply to my local service district. We have a current emergency plan we have a water system. We have a recreation program.
I am not interested in paying for any other services!! Leave well enough alone!!
Only own land in the area, if and when I build I will look at these items.
Honestly there are no additional services that I am currently not provided with, that I would want to pay for.
Municipal Oversight.
Vacant lot and local council providing already so why we agree to pay more???
None.
We already pay for garbage collection to Eastern Waste.
Road signage.
We are already paying for services consistent with most municipalities in this province.
Paying enough right now from a Seniors pension. Can't afford any more.
Not applicable but I believe unincorporated and LSDs should not be exempt from paying for services. A taxation system must be in place.
Land planning, economic development.

No.
None. The community is so small and self-sufficient, that is, neighbours work together and cooperate, so no additional services are needed.
Poll Tax.
Development oversight / community planning.
None. It is cabin country and secondary residence on weekends in summer.
None, I pay for enough already.
Effective land use planning.
Not to LSD, only if it was all done by the Regional board.
Monitoring of land use. For example, there is one area in our community where someone has parked rusted out vehicles. They have been there for years. Another example is removing the side of the cliff for rock and fill to sell.
Road maintenance especially the Department of Transportation portion.
This is rural Newfoundland. Why would I want urban amenities in the middle of nowhere?
I would not want to pay for any additional services. I do not feel they are currently needed.
Pay for most of this through town taxes.
none, why would I want to pay more for minimal services
no

**Q22a: Regionalizing local services would offer more services to your community.**

**Q22b: Why do you feel this way?**

Because right now there is nothing offered other than basic services. Water, fire and garbage. Right now I pay for fire. I live more than 20 minutes from a fire department. The reality is it will not help me in the event of a fire as it operates currently.
More services mean more taxes, this is why I live in a rural area. I pay for all my own services as needed and work full time paying the majority of my pay check in taxes already, and do all my own upkeep.
In Bay St George South we have had a regional approach to community services for over 40 years. This has worked quite well for the Heatherton-Highlands area.
As a community we come together to make what needs to be done within our means to do so. We are independent community. We don't need any extra service or assistance to live or to operate, nor do we ever want to regionalize, we are strongly against regionalization (Town Council), our beautiful community will gain nothing.
We have all of the services provided to municipalities without the added fee of paying elected officials, poll tax and other fees associated with "city living".
It will only cost more money, services will remain the same or worsen.
We do just fine providing our own services privately! We do not need nor want to pay for regional services.
We currently have a well staffed fire department. Training is priority one. It boils down to what the residents expect and that's not a lot. From an environmental standpoint a sewer system would be great but realistically that's not going to happen in the near future.
Small community do not see need for additional services,
We are already receiving needed services, either through our Local Service District or other entities. We understand from past meetings that we would not gain any benefit from regionalization, but doing so would cost more than we are currently paying. This happened when we were forced to regionalize waste management. Our cost went from \$96.00 to \$246.00
Would cost less. Verses three or four Local Service Districts paying you would only have one.
Do not want any part of regionalizing our local services.
I feel this would be just another level of Government. Most residents are on a fixed income and cannot afford additional costs. Our Community is very well run and having a board deciding our fate is certainly not ideal.
I have the services that I need so why pay for services that I don't need.
We have the infrastructure we need, and we each get to control it how we want. I can't think of a service that would benefit from being regionalized. I can see many benefits for decentralization, though, but we aren't talking about that right now.
Our rural area has the services needed.
I feel it's very unlikely that services would be provided in my area given the low numbers of residents living here full time.
We have no need for more regional services.
I got my own artesian well, sewer system and fire protection also garbage collection which I pay for so any thing else would not benefit me.
This community is geographically too large to properly offer these services beyond the current setup with the spread out population.
This community is far to large to offer services such as street lights and sewer systems. The community is to far spread out.
We have a self supporting Local Service District that works very well.

If the Provincial Government isn't prepared to pay for water and sewer it would be beyond the scope of an Local Service District or Regional Local Service District to do so.
Things are working great in our community. Don't try and fix what's not broken!
Currently no local services.
The near by town does not offer any services that we don't avail of and pay for privately.
Satisfied paying for garbage and recycling (even though I don't mind bringing garbage and recycling home as I did in the past). I feel that the provincial taxes I pay are sufficient for my use of a public road that is maintained by provincial government and is used by those other than local seasonal or permanent residents (including commercial drivers).
Depends on how it's organized, we could pay more for less.
It's just a money grab, our area is too spread out to make it work.
More information needed.
Services are sufficient and service district is always effective in keeping community water supply in order.
Most of the area is cabin country and therefore most of the service is being paid for at their primary residence and they do not want to paid twice for this service as a lot of the cabin owners only live in the area on a seasonal basis. They take their garbage home.
Just another way for the government to filter more money out of pockets instead of into them.
I am in an incorporated town. I feel people in unincorporated areas should have their property taxed no different than I am. There should be a residence tax on any property that someone lives in year round. Our province cannot sustain people paying no property tax but yet expect services. County system like New Brunswick has would be a great start!
Regionalizing local services would be too costly for the unincorporated areas.
All it will do is force me to pay more money I don't have.
Our area has 11 communities who presently have one (1) Local Service District and are
We have our own water and sewer.
I like it the way it is.
Right now we don't have any services from any town. Only what we pay for to private
We do not have a water or sewer system in our community. People have their own wells and septic system. If we were regionalized, we would be joined with communities that have water and sewer provided. Therefore, we would be forced to increase our local service district fees to help offset their costs! There is no benefit to our community becoming regionalized. It would benefit larger centers but harm our smaller communities. As a board member of our local service district, myself and others are working diligently to keep our community vibrant and encourage others to move or stay here. If our fees were increased, this would create the
We have a small community. Our taxes would not go very far hence why we take care of it ourselves with the committee.
We have great services now at reasonable price, regionalization would only cost more money and not have local input and cost a lot more.
There are some living in my Local Service District who do not take care of their property and regionalizing would protect those that do.
We are a very small community and like it the way it is. We pay for water, garbage, and recyclables. It's enough for our community.
Between what services the Local Service District provides and the province, we have what we
Would provide some services but at a cost that would not provide good value.
I feel like the bigger towns in the region would reap the benefits.

I lived in Nova Scotia in a regional municipality. All services were better quality, more professional, more inclusive, and likely more cost effective. If you lived outside of a town you were automatically in a regional municipality. Everyone paid property taxes. There was no amalgamation of small communities. Copy this structure of municipalities and you would have far better local government. Paid municipal staff, complete municipal services including curbside pickup of compostables, even a local archive (which promotes local tourism). As far as I have experienced, Newfoundland and Labrador rural government (Local Service District) operates as if it was still the 1950s when the only service required was a pickup truck hauling garbage to a local dump for burning. So Newfoundland and Labrador is about 40 years behind the times when it comes to rural governance. Time to end Local Service Districts operated by volunteers. Get professional staffing and get professional municipal services into rural Newfoundland and Labrador. Same across the island, stop forcing towns to amalgamate and create regional counties where every small town keeps its identity and becomes part of a larger municipal region. Copy what they have done in Nova Scotia - there is no need to create

Local Service District's are run by volunteers, while we presently have a very good committee but, we find ourselves taxed for time to deal with all the issues. This is in part because Local Service Districts have so little power with Government and when we finally do meet with success regarding grants we are treated no different that the larger centre such as St. John's or Corner Brook. There lacks tolerance for these small communities, and while I believe we have done well in recent years there is only so much that volunteers can get done with the current fee rate structure. For this community it is hard to see any difference if we were incorporated. We have been on a boil water advisory for many years and while we have been awarded a grant for an water upgrade, the wheels of Government has moved very slowly as do the private sector. Just to give you an example, tenders just closed today and we did not get any bids to complete the work. now we are in limbo and working with the Engineering Firm to

We are more than happy with the way things are. We don't require any of the things you are offering. We aren't interested in any more tax grabs. We are both retired and have paid more than our fair share of tax and still paying. We are quite aware of how things run amuck when amalgamation takes place. How are the seniors and those who are less than tech savvy or don't own a computer here to access this survey anyway? You have this advertised on Facebook with a web address which no longer exists and you say this is open until August

We need to get rid of our "click of a committee from St. John's" and have the Local Service District take over or form a council.

Don't need or want anymore services than what the local service district supplies, not willing to pay more than I'm currently paying.

We pay for our water, fire protection and garbage collection now and as for road maintenance and snow clearing along with the personal taxes that we pay and all of the tax we pay for fuel should cover the roads we drive on.

I recently moved to Cobbs Arm and have no idea what services are available. I do hear of other communities having things, so a centralized district would be nice.

Our community has all the necessary services in place and it is working well as is, no need to fix what is not broken.

Our community is already provided all of the services that would be on offer by regionalizing by strong independent business and volunteers. Having these services provided locally has provided jobs, training and independence for decades in my community. Regionalizing wouldn't offer anything that we don't already have high quality options for and I believe that regionalizing would degrade the quality of these services and make life much more expensive in an area

Residents of the area have discussed this topic several times over the past 20 years, and there are no services that a regional government can provide, that we do not currently have. We would be burdened with a rate of taxation which is much greater than we pay now, and would receive nothing at all for that extra money. No thanks.

We already have all of the needed services, regionalization will not add additional services.

I live in a very successfully managed local service district, with fire protection, waste collection and adequate street lighting (less is more if you are concerned about light pollution). I am willing to consider paying for snow clearing and road maintenance provided it is equivalent to services received in other small municipalities and at a similar cost.

Seniors and low income people are over taxed now. Leave services as they are.

When it's not people belonging to your own community, the service would not be the same. Local people care about their own community more than another community.

I do not see how regionalization would benefit my household. I live in a very small community so I can not see a community water system or sewer system that would make sense. Nor do I see that ever happening. I recognize the importance of supplying services to the community but in our case our garbage is handled through the regional board and fire protection is handled through our neighbouring town. Our roads are maintained by the Department of Transportation. Our Local Service Committee currently has very little input into how are services are provided or how much is charged. The problem I see with regional plan is that we Our neighboring larger community provides fire protection (on paid contract). It is not feasible for them to provide water and sewer services. It was studied a number of years ago and it was not feasible for our community to amalgamate with Deer Lake.

I don't see where our area needs any more services than we already have, we have what we need already.

We have mostly seniors who are on a fixed budget and it would be hard for them to pay more.

This is a rural area with long spaces from residence to residence. There will never be any public water supply, public sewage system, street lighting, etc.

Residences in this area maintain there own water and sewage supplies , pay for garbage collection.

As far as snow clearing and road maintenance (which does not exist only is sporadic very small areas) should be some reward for paying 40% of income in taxes now that I am retired.

During my working career the better part of my income was taxed at 60% to which I received zero services outside of snow clearing.

We already have all the services we need.

Unsure if this would beneficial for a summer residence.

We have the services now.

Our roads are terrible, I feel if we paid property taxes we could get better roads.

I don't know what services would be improved upon.

Because the provincial government are not helpful to us. We had own garbage collection in place and after two years, because of political pressure by some cabin owners during an election year, the service was pulled, leaving us with nothing.

We already have the all the services that you are offering.

Our community has been maintained for many years as it is and regionalizing would only result in additional taxes for no more services than we have now. As a retired couple, these additional costs would be detrimental to our living here in our own home.

<p>Because [REDACTED] working on creating one of the eight regional service boards set up under the waste management strategy [REDACTED] and have seen nothing accomplished, other than a lot of money given to consultants and none of the recommendations followed up on (I know, as one of those studies was mine). I left because of the ineptitude. I am in favour of regional service boards as I have seen them operate with great success in other jurisdictions. Sadly even the wording of this questionnaire shows that there is still no understanding of life in rural Newfoundland and Labrador.</p>
<p>Because I don't need it, so don't force me to take something I don't want, doing just fine providing for myself.</p>
<p>Not really sure what is involved in this regionalizing.</p>
<p>I believe a community is stronger when shared services aid the common good.</p>
<p>Having visited other rural areas that are operating as Local Service Districts or municipalities and observing the benefits to those communities. One area that comes to mind is the community of Eastport. I am not sure if it is a Local Service District or a municipality (most likely the latter). While it is somewhat rural, they appear to have reaped benefits (I have never</p>
<p>It would cost us dearly for those services, I have seen how it works in other communities no advantage to this.</p>
<p>There is absolutely no way to offer most of the services listed in your previous questions due to the distance between homes and lack of infrastructure such as water and sewer.</p>
<p>There is nothing wrong with the way things are now.</p>
<p>I would like to have a civic address. It would help with 911 service and delivery services.</p>
<p>As a small community, with some funds, we can do a lot.</p>
<p>The service would be quicker or it would exist where it doesn't exist at this point.</p>
<p>Small communities like mine never actually see any of the benefits of regionalized services, it all goes to bigger centers.</p>
<p>We already have services as an unincorporated community. We have our own wells, crowd funded fire fighting, provincial government covers basic road maintenance and snow removal. And a regional facility offers garbage collection for much less cost than municipal taxes would</p>
<p>We are not close to any large community that could provide the before mentioned services, we would pay, yet wait for the service approximately 30 minutes away. The larger area would first look after their own, then come to us. Our community is fine, no need to fix what is not broken! Yes we could use some new roads, that is what our urgent taxes pay for. So we are currently</p>
<p>I see other towns that is paying and our community isn't much different, so why pay for something if we see no difference, it should be up to the communities to decide and not government to decide for us that live here.</p>
<p>Small rural communities are doing well with the local service district. We do not require many services that larger towns have, such as water (we have wells with water that does not require much treatment), animal control (we have the community support of animals, we all look after other's animals in the rare occasion that an animal might be running), street lighting (not needed in these small communities, complete waste of funds, not to mention the impact to the environment). I think we would take so much away from the simple way of living that comes with small town residents if we were to become a regional set up.</p>
<p>There isn't anything that could make it better.</p>



<p>I previously lived in a community that had a town council and paid an annual property tax and water and sewer fee. I feel in this time, rural communities need this type of full time council to offer these services for a fee and not put the burden on our government as the state of our roads and services have declined to no return if the residents do not contribute. We just travelled to Nova Scotia and their rural communities and roads are much better than this</p>
<p>We are completely independent in our area.</p>
<p>We already have many of these services, so I doubt that regionalization will really offer "more" services that people need.</p>
<p>This smacks of cultural bias. Here we have a group (likely no more than three) from a municipal background and professional experience and training harping on the fact that rural communities including local service district are getting a free ride on snow clearing while neglecting to point out that the same communities do not get municipal operating grants and do not get access to the gas tax. Further regional services provided by the province such recreation, health, medical, and other social services like addiction counseling are rarely if ever placed a rural areas. Rural residents must travel to a municipality to access these programs and services. I am confident that municipal residents would think it ridiculous to have to pay for the provincial service that is located in their town because its only fair. After all people outside have the extra expense travel to access the same service. We all pay our taxes and we want to be fair. Municipal administrators and senior bureaucrats who view the province through a municipal scope should not be setting the parameters of discussion as to what local services district need. This questionnaire is like the previous consultation questionnaire of 2017 a contrived witch hunt on the fiscal short comings of local service districts and unincorporated areas to cover the hidden agenda as a province wide property tax with no</p>
<p>We have the essentials we need. I would need more detail on the benefits of changing to</p>
<p>Because water is provided right to our boundary and if we were regionalized then maybe they would continue through our community.</p>
<p>It would provide a wider range of services and regulations. The latter being building permits, animal control, banning burning of garbage, street lighting.</p>
<p>We have all of the services we need. We pay for garbage collection and fire protection. My income tax and gas tax pays for our roads. Leave our community alone!</p>
<p>I've seen what councils fail to provide in neighbouring communities, while driving up taxes to locals, if I wanted extra services or taxes I would have lived in St. John's.</p>
<p>Small community. Do not need it.</p>
<p>My community of Freshwater, Conception Bay North has a wonderful working Local Service District which works hard to keep our little community clean, safe and a desirable place to live. Everything is working well where I live. I do not feel the need for our community to amalgamate with any other local community or regional area. Actually, I am totally against the idea. Our Local Service District works very well. We are not in need of anything. I certainly don't want to gave to pay extra annual taxes to have another entity control my every move. All of the residents of this community work together to help each other, along with our Local Service District. We plan together for all aspects of community life. Most residents are thoroughly involved in our community. So...my final word...leave us alone and stop trying to fix something</p>
<p>No source of water, artesian wells are here and septic systems in place.</p>
<p>I'm quite happy with the services I'm receiving now. Not interested in paying more fees or property taxes!</p>

Over the past 40 years there have been talks of Freshwater joining Carbonear or Victoria, this would be of no advantage to the residents of Freshwater. Those communities have nothing to offer our community.

The councils in the area are dysfunctional. And there are a lot of issues with the local council members serving their own personal agenda.

A structured agenda is better for all concerned.

History.

You'd replace a volunteer board that runs the community with paid municipal employees, unions, etc. Currently the town hires contractors to do the various pieces of work that need to be completed. That would get far more costly when a municipality instead sent unionized workers in town vehicles to perform the work. Or, when municipal workers tendered work and had municipal workers oversee it. I think we'd end up paying for services that only existed in

Nothing will change in services all we would be doing is paying more for the same service.

I agree it will be offered. I agree we'd be taxed for it. I don't believe anyone alive now will live long enough to see it finished let alone paid for. I feel this way from looking around at the municipal governments outside of St. John's/Mount Pearl/Conception Bay South. There's what? One that isn't up to eyes in debt and struggling to provide any services worth paying for. The water is terrible and they're all on the hook for waste water management facilities they can't afford. Which is where this push seems to be coming from in my opinion. The Province can't afford it, the municipalities can't afford it, so you're trying to tax outside where those service exist now as a stop gap measure to keep the Federal Government off their backs.

We have a community that is self sufficient.

We need a Municipality not just for one community here in Trinity South but for all community's. We need to combine the power of all these communities into one Municipal Government for greater service to residents. Blaketown down to Greens Harbor. One Town Council.

We get only one part of the main road in the community maintained regularly.

My community has poor services and the other communities have poor services also.

I already pay \$150.00 a year for fire and emergency services and I pay \$180.00 a year for waste disposable and recyclables. I have my own deep well with excellent water (no chlorine) and I have my own septic system. We have no animal control problems and we abide by Provincial laws regarding animal control. Anyone who feels they want a street light gets it and pays themselves. Our Government road maintenance is paid by our gas taxes. I feel this little community is just fine the way it is. We do not need to be regionalized. People's income is not increasing fast enough to keep up with the economy now so please tell me why I need another bill to pay for something I don't need. You cannot offer any better services better than what I

Local Service Districts receive no government funding as municipalities do. As a local service district, fire protection and waste collection and disposal services are provided and that is all that is needed in most rural communities.

The community I reside in has fire services, garbage collection. I have my own artesian well and septic system. I do not need any further services. Regionalizing local services would not benefit me. Regionalizing services would cost me money.

It would not because the main focus of services will be toward areas of greater population.

Because we have all the services we need now. There is nothing to be gain by being

Too costly for regional government to provide services to sparsely populated areas.

Share cost.

Because we don't have enough people to pay for any additional services. We don't need any services we don't already have that we pay for either as Local Service District or we pay for in provincial taxes.

I think money would be more accessible for larger areas.

Our Local Service District does a fantastic job for the community due to the extraordinary efforts of a few people.

My big issue is the way some properties are kept - garbage and junk everywhere. And also the sewage that is allowed to go in the ocean - this is an environment problem in Green's Harbour along with the numerous unkept properties. People should be made to clean them up. Visitors from other provinces have commented on the way things are kept - piggish and the environmental risks everywhere.

I disagree as they would not be able to offer services needed, we all have wells with high quality drinking water and our own septic systems. All this would do is increase our taxes to pay for services in other areas.

We have all services we need now.

Because when highway services cleared main roads. The roads were better maintained.

It depends how it's done. Regional service boards as they presently are is not the answer. The government has no control of Eastern Regional Service Board for example.

Could be positive and negative results.

I like how our community is run at the moment. I do not want any changes.

We are a self sufficient community without the politics of our neighboring communities.

I have all the service I need and the Local Service District does a great job for these small communities.

Everything runs very very sufficiently.

It is not fair for a resident of a incorporated Municipality to pay Provincial Taxes to support non incorporated areas. These areas get free provincial government snow clearing, road maintenance, etc. They often pay next to nothing for fire protection and shame incorporated Municipalities to respond to emergencies in their area when they are required. Provincial Government cannot keep "giving away" services to these areas. It is not fair. Everyone in the province should be paying a property tax. With regards to fire service, the duplication of services in the Conception Bay North area is unreal. With a regional county system everything can be better spread out and better allocated. Everyone can pay into the pot for all residents to avail of. The prosperity of the bigger communities can benefit the smaller ones. This blows my mind how this is not already done. Newfoundland is way behind the 8 ball on this. Lets hope

My service district is not well run. Older people on the committee who have from what I can tell mismanaged. Water lines should have been replaced and have not been. No one willing to help with snow clearing and as a essential health care worker leaves me trapped in the winter. Should be joined with neighbouring community. Willing to pay more taxes for better and more

We already have fire protection and garbage collection and could have street lights if we wanted them. Water and sewer services are provided privately. Providing our small community with water and sewer services would cost way too much for any level of government to take on. As for road maintenance and snow clearing this is done by the provincial government and paid for by our tax dollars. I believe larger municipalities with town streets and snow clearing also get any provincial roads through their town done by the provincial government as well.

I rarely visit, and on times that we do we remove our garbage. I would not want to mandatorily have to pay a fee. It would cause us to sell the property with the rising costs of all other taxes

Population too small to make a difference.

<p>Organization between community members is very difficult. A governing body that could coordinate fees for garbage collection, road maintenance, snow clearing, etc. would make</p>
<p>We already have services in place that meet or exceeds the basic needs of the people that reside here. We collect absolutely zero dollars via Annual Gas Tax, Capital Operating Grants, etc., Incorporated Communities with a population under 3000 receives kickbacks and can avail of the exact same funding ratios that an Local Service Districts can. Why ? Their share is handed to them via gas tax rebates, Capital Operating grants , etc. Why aren't they included in</p>
<p>Right now our local service district only mandate is the local fire department. People are getting away with doing whatever they like in local communities with no rules or by-laws.</p>
<p>Because the issue of geography and low population would still be at play. We would pay extra taxes/fees but it wouldn't necessary be feasible for the nearest municipality to hire extra staff, buy extra equipment to travel 20 kms and plow one road for 100 people to use.</p>
<p>We get by fine.</p>
<p>Get us official street numbers so we can order online during a pandemic like everyone else, and things here would be fine.</p>
<p>Local municipalities get enough money from the government now. Clarendville has all the government jobs, and most of the business, and charges a poll tax besides. If you attempt to take money from us to give to them, you're going to have quite the fight.</p>
<p>I don't need a fire station further away. And the service they do already provide are more than paid for by the created jobs and fact that it made them a magnet for all businesses over the years. This - link all other attempts-is a non starter.</p>
<p>The services we have are paid in full at time of build. Being taxed again for that is disgraceful. I pay for my own streetlight monthly from Newfoundland and Labrador Power.</p>
<p>We chose to live in the country on our own. No use for rules and charges. We are fine thank</p>
<p>I am pleased with the current services.</p>
<p>The roads would be better maintained Spring to Fall and Winter maintenance sanding would be better during ice conditions.</p>
<p>I like our little community and we have most services required. Fire protection would be beneficial though.</p>
<p>We have what we need in our community.</p>
<p>Right way to go, get it done.</p>
<p>When communities work together and share services/providers the residents should see a smaller rate with a better level of services.</p>
<p>Would need more information.</p>
<p>We pay our annual taxes which then covers all of our operating costs, such as, fire, garbage, street lights, water reservoir maintenance, snow clearing is done by the government. If we were to join with neighbouring King's Point, our taxes would surely increase, for the exact same</p>
<p>We have an efficient professional volunteer fire department and garbage collection.</p>
<p>Regionalizing our community...the only thing you can give us that we don't have and don't</p>
<p>Cause the Newfoundland and Labrador government is run by idiots that couldn't run a truck in the ditch!</p>
<p>I am not sure if it actually will but I hope so.</p>
<p>I have experience with this in the past. Paying almost \$2,000.00 annually for a street light, fire protection and garbage.</p>
<p>Because my community is small and has no surrounding communities that we could avail from to render us any services.</p>
<p>Besides the roads I'm happy the way it is.</p>

<p>I feel that providing more services to such a rural area that is spread out would be expensive. Again, I believe regional cooperation, relocation and amalgamation would provide better service delivery and cost savings.</p>
<p>We had a fire a few years back. Our local fire department was slow to respond because not many members. I called regional department and they would not attend. Even if we did not have a volunteer department it would take a long time for a department out of our community to respond. Probably would be too late. As it was in our case.</p>
<p>We have the services we need.</p>
<p>Individual home owners provide for themselves - street lighting, water, and sewer. Therefore regional government would mean higher taxes with no extra services. We would be paying for services of people in the other communities. Also we would not have enough representation to influence any decision making.</p>
<p>I think regionalization would make for a consistent supply of services e.g. reliable water</p>
<p>We need water and sewer services in our community.</p>
<p>We need jobs, not shuffling of services that already exist. This may be ok for larger centers but will not work for rural areas who are struggling due to lack of employment opportunities or economic development supports from government. Also, by regionalizing these services, you will succeed in eliminating the few local jobs that already exist and provide these services in small rural communities. I believe economic development should be the first priority for municipalities in Newfoundland and Labrador. We are not interested in paying taxes to employ people elsewhere. We are interested in building our communities and local economies and</p>
<p>As long as the money paid by the residences in the area is used for that area this could work, however I would not want to pay extra money for nothing.</p>
<p>Pooling of resources is more efficient in a sparsely populated region.</p>
<p>Problem with regionalization is that while services are shared, cities, towns, local service districts, and unincorporated communities still provide their own civic services and taxation. To solve this problem, Newfoundland and Labrador needs to impose a Nova Scotian and Quebecois civic governance system of counties and regional municipalities.</p>
<p>I think there is strength in numbers and that if the communities in our area joined forces we could move forward. Many people want this to be their own personal "oasis". They want to live with no rules, no oversight, no added costs.</p>
<p>Our services are already regionalized (waste disposal, fire protection).</p>
<p>We need to be governing ourselves rather than the centralized government in St. John's.</p>
<p>We are getting all the services that we need at the present time and at a very decent price. I see similar town around that are incorporated but they have town employees that drive around town in a town owned vehicle looking for things to fill the day. Having a full time worker would be a waste of good money and a make work project.</p>
<p>We already have what we need with our Local Service District.</p>
<p>Would not change the distance we are from the next municipality for fire services. We also would be too far from nearest community to have water and sewer hook ups.</p>
<p>If it ain't broke don't fix it.</p>
<p>My community attempted to form an Local Service District to better manage its own affairs and work with a nearby community (Local Service District) but were turned down twice by Municipal Affairs. The two adjacent communities could benefit from a common water system as they use the same water source, however investment would be required in my community as it is unincorporated versus the adjacent community which falls under an Local Service District.</p>
<p>I don't see a need for it at this time.</p>

Smaller towns pooling their available resources, the economies of scale is always more
Because there are a lot of local service districts that could provide a better tax base , while one person in an incorporated town pays \$1000 plus for municipal taxes, a local service district next door pays 1/3 of that , however that service district uses the incorporated towns fire service if the need arises, more towns need to amalgamate, or have services cut.
We have the services we need. Regionalization would have us paying for services we don't need as well as services for other communities that we do not use.
Since we don't need these services, why should we pay for a regional service. The garbage collection fees quadrupled and the service remained the same.
Our council does very little. Right now we have no mayor or even acting mayor, maintenance does his own thing daily instead of work, our water gets shut off a lot to deal with issues and we only get 10 minutes notice if that (not emergency work), all the council has resigned.
There is a need for development oversight and could be shared by several communities.
Many Local Service Districts or larger municipalities in the area that could benefit from shared services.
Cabin area is not a permanent residence and should not be grouped with local towns where people live all the time. Cabin users should not be subsidizing permanent residents of nearby towns. We already pay taxes at our primary residences.
I go to my seasonal property to get away from the urban lifestyle. I do not want or need additional services at my seasonal retreat to relax and enjoy a simpler lifestyle.
Quite satisfied with the services I am receiving now. I feel I'm already getting the best bang for my buck, why change?
I see no services that I particularly need but I would "go along" with wishes of community.
Honestly I don't know enough about it to say.
I am quite happy with the way things are in my community. There is nothing that being incorporated could offer. I have my own well and my own sewer system. I'm not interested in being part of a municipality.
Rationalization would add another layer of government bloat.
Our Local Service District provides all the services that any other community can provide that we need, water, garbage collection, and fire protection.
I don't live in a community. I live between two communities in an unincorporated area which is largely devoted to agriculture. I have a well and septic system, pay for waste removal and really don't need other services, except fire protection, which I may be able to arrange with a nearby I feel as though that would be the case in rural areas. There are many rural towns that can't support the services they require.
All of the required services are provided at present either by the Local Service District or the Provincial Government. The only service we do not presently have is recycling. I do believe that as a resident of the Local Service District, I should be paying my fair share for snow clearing, road upkeep, etc. that is currently paid for by the Provincial Government.
No need of dozens of small groups working on this. Need to de-politicize and move to a more professional model. Our Local Service District, if it still exists, is a completely invisible body. No idea how to contact, except when the annual bill shows up. Which is too little. As are the It might. But I would be extremely concerned about top-heavy bureaucracy, over-reaching mandate-creep from councils at that level, and punitively high taxes.
Local Service District days should be eliminated, we have trouble getting to pay the small fire fees now, some actually refuse so I for one would rather see it all go and pay one fee for whatever services there are.

I hope it would mean that services are equally available to people who live in unincorporated communities.
We pay for our own.
Leave it alone.
We are a very small community and getting services from the government is an up hill battle... if you don't have the votes it's harder to get government funding. If smaller areas would come together it should improve the odds.
Any necessary services are already available. Fire protection is only listed under the neighbouring municipality because of the rules for establishing a fire department. Most of the firemen are from the adjacent unincorporated communities. Everyone has water and septic. There are already community wells and services that people work together to pay for in a
Opportunity to access more government funding to upgrade our current systems and install
I see services declining presently and there need to be a new strategy in place to ensure the viability of small communities and there is a need for all residents to pay their fair share.
Comfortable with what our community provides.
It would just be another layer of taxes, with no improved services.
So many small communities with low population if we could combine services it would make more sense for our area of Trinity South. Our road conditions are very very poor.
We would be lost in the shuffle and residents are more than happy with our way of life as is. We have our own wells, sewer, don't need lighting or animal control or any extras.
Regionalization only boost the bigger community in charge while the smaller communities gets shafted.
I live in a very small community, with aging population. Services cost more and would be
Minimal services now.
I lived in a regionally managed district in British Columbia and we did not see the benefit from it in our community. I prefer being able to talk to someone locally who knows and is able to witness the need right here in the community.
Regionalizing local services would provide nothing to tiny, aging communities. Resettlement is the only cost-effective solution.
We would be under a municipality that does not know we exist. We do fine on our own, and I would much rather pay my local service district for more services at the same quality as our current services than pay another municipality to forget we exist and only do things when
It wouldn't change what we have, but would probably cost me more.
My community is too far away from any other Local Service Districts or towns to share services.
Local services would be too expensive to provide due to the remoteness and low population density. If I wanted those services I would have chosen to live in a city town or village.
The community needs infrastructure and leadership. We would have a local central area to lodge a complaint, be more engaged and organized. We would have someone accountable. We need municipal bylaws to be enforced. Quicker response time to problem areas. We now report most of our problems to Corner Brook or St. John's resulting in delays. I feel in times, we are like a ship without a rudder.
I feel we would have a smaller voice at the table and have less for more. Or more things we do not need/want.

Be they are a lot of residents that live in unincorporated areas would love to have all services that is available to them. Right now in unincorporated area of Horsechops Southern Shore we got not one public service. Everything that I mentioned above that we got . We pay for it ourselves and pay through the nose. If my home catches fire no one will come. If I get trapped in my vehicle, fire department won't come with jaws of life. Just in homeowners insurance I pay \$400.00 a year more because we don't have fire protection along with other services we can't get.

Most cabin owners who come up a few weekends don't want to pay for services in these areas. We have worked long and hard for the critical services we have in our tiny community and cannot see how the provincial government, through regionalization, can provide cheaper services then we already have. They include a domestic community water system, regional waste disposal, regional fire fighting capability and a regional recreation association.

Due to cost we would never have infrastructure like town water and sewer, and right now we all individually pay for our own water, garbage and fire, street lights, etc., and own our own wells and septic.

Its fine like it is now.

We have what we need already.

No more services would be offered as they are not needed by the community. Current services cover the needs of the community, where regionalization will not offer anything of substance or

People are poor in this area, taxing them will make it less affordable. Leave them to their

Regionalizing will add a financial burden. I live in an unincorporated community to avoid those added costs.

Because we do not have the population to offer more.

The local service district of Sheaves Cove currently do not provide any services to its residents. The water system no longer is maintained and the water no longer has chlorine added. Water is no longer tested by the government because of this.

Neighboring towns have more services.

Don't want it or need it. Been operating as a Local Service District for over 40 years, we are in no debt and running our nine communities fine without all your taxes.

There has been an attempt already with the Western Regional Waste Management Committee to regionalize garbage that resulted in the garbage bill tripling in one year. Our community had its own dump. Was this explored as an alternative rather than truck garbage hours away?

There was a community meeting with approx. 50 attendees. People were left feeling sad, powerless and defeated. This was not a good experience with regional government.

We are a small community in a string of oghther such communities and the distance for street lighting or sewer could cost more than anyone could pay

Past History shows this is not the situation

our needs and services would be assessed and evaluated by council living 35-100kms away

We have all that require with the LSD. They do a great job

We will be controlled y other town 60-100km away

would be controlled by other town 60-100km away

because what less can you give up. Our local service district is quite hapy with what we have we are doing quite well thank you. Regional Government will create extra bureaucracy at the expense of our residents (i.e. taxes that will add extra burden to us financially.) we function totally independently (no grants, mog's, no gas tax refunds)



I don't feel that our community would benefit from additional services because we've all have our own water and sewer systems, we pay for fire and garbage and can avail of the local landfill as part of our garbage fee. In todays times regardign ercycling most people if not all can drop off at the nearest greendepot

No other services are required and no additional services are financially viable

we have all the necessary services we need and we are not millions in the hole

for the population base and location services are good as is

services are good now

**Q23a: Regionalizing local services would offer better quality services in your community/region.**

**Q23b: Why do you feel this way?**

I feel there would be a stronger interest for the betterment of the area as a whole, as it currently has a small ice area in one community, and nothing in the other nine communities. There is no recreation for young or old. You have to go to another region to partake in any
The local service district is doing a great job already.
See last comment.
We will continue to govern our communities ourselves, we are independent happy the way these communities are, strongly disagree with regionalization.
I have no issues with garbage collection service, recycling services, or fire protection service. However, I do not avail of water services but I realize that many customers have issues with
See 22.
Regionalizing services in neighboring areas are no better off and have to pay even higher
It really depends on the structure of the government. We have our own fire department that could easily service three surrounding communities. Changes may have to be made but response times would be much better than those of the nearest municipalities especially if we
Regional Service Board took over waste and re-cycle program and service level went down. The Management of Regional Service Board do not relate well to small communities. The Board membership is weight heavy to St. John's so staff only interest those Board Members. The idea of a Regional Service Board is good, but this Board and its structure was badly set up from the start, no accountability.
We have already discussed this at meetings in the past. We asked about benefits to our community. We were informed that we would not receive any other services, but would have to
Same reasons as above.
We have good quality services at present. Some are already regionalized to various degrees. Those that are not regionalized are as good, if not better, then anything a regional service
When centralizing any system, the administrative structure becomes detached from place and need. If the head office is even 50 km away, that creates a huge disconnect between what my community needs and what head office thinks. We are not the same places or peoples, and we
Services that we have currently are sufficient for our rural area.
Would pay more for fire services that are provided by neighboring community so that there could be firefighters/emergency service that are paid to service this growing area.
I don't feel our area would be given priority, i.e. snow clearing, road maintenance.
We have good services now.
If we wanted better services I would move to a city.
I believe the current setup works better than it would if it was controlled by one major group.
There is far too much space and too little population.
What we have works very well.
The services that we do provide are of a high quality. Having a Regional Local Service District would not change anything.
Would make the area more community inclusive.
When you pay privately for services you have control over the quality of service you receive.
The garbage and recycling services are all that are needed when having a well and septic system. The road is a provincial secondary road.

It could if properly organized and run but to have a regional service board just because we don't have certain services in some areas but would mandate those services to only Local Service Districts and unincorporated areas and leave out municipalities, especially those that are not now meeting their financial obligations, would be a mistake.
Our local service districts are for the people it serves.
More information needed.
Water supply is exceptional currently, garbage collection is sufficient, personal septic field system and fire department nearby community that is supported by community fundraising.
Cabin country and owners paying taxes somewhere else.
Systems in Newfoundland and Labrador too full of corruption/nepotism.
Regionalizing local services would be too costly for the unincorporated areas.
All it will do is force me to pay more money I don't have.
Our area has 11 communities who presently have one (1) Local Service District and are
No need for change.
So we can be treated like everyone else who live in Newfoundland. Right now we are being treated like third world countries by leaving us out because of pressure from cabin owners that don't want to pay for anything. But enjoy all the services at their homes but don't care about
We have the services we need. Why pay more fees when it is not needed?
How could someone not from this area do a better job dealing with local services and issues than someone here in the community, what works for most don't work for all.
We want to keep it the way it is, we don't mind having to drive to go for other resources.
Same answer as in question number 22.
Better quality services may be available but at too high a price.
I feel like the bigger towns in the region would reap the benefits.
See comment in 22 b.
Volunteers cannot effectively run a community with the many constraints such as the time to administer, many residents, even when explained, are oblivious to the effort that is required to push forward as a Local Service District. Volunteers are often left wondering what the ---- did they get themselves into with very little interest from other community members. I for one don't mind paying more if my services are improved in a Regional Municipality, but if I'm asked to pay more with no added benefit to my services, there will be problems. We currently pay
As above.
We need something better than what we have, a monopoly of St. John's residents running our road, totally ridiculous. Six committee members and five are from St. John's and the other is an elderly gentleman (87 years of age).
Don't want or need anything more than I currently have, would be impossible and too costly.
We live in a rural area and Government don't like that so I don't think they are going to give us any more than they have too.
Some communities get more or better services than others.
We already have high quality services. Regionalizing would transfer these services to a different structure and likely different workers, loss of skill and experience would be incurred, multi-generational business would shut down, volunteerism would decline, all of which would negatively affect our community culturally, economically and in terms of community morale.
See above.
It is doubtful that regionalizing services would improve the quality, the quality we have currently
There is almost no likelihood of municipal water and sewer services in the area I live in at a reasonable cost to our residents and we are quite able and willing to look after our own needs

We are satisfied with things as they are. Sounds like property taxes are needed by government to pay off their corruption with Muskrat Falls.
Same as above.
Define "Better"?
I think as a very small community we will be at the bottom of every to do list. We will be the last to receive service. Especially in the winter.
As right now we pay \$150 a year for road and snow clearing. I cannot see how we would get better value from regionalization.
We would not have any additional benefits.
The services we have already are enough
I feel it would benefit the larger towns but the smaller communities would see little benefit.
This is a rural area with long spaces from residence to residence. There will never be any public water supply, public sewage system, street lighting, etc.
Residences in this area maintain there own water and sewage supplies, pay for garbage collection.
As far as snow clearing and road maintenance (which does not exist only is sporadic very small areas) should be some reward for paying 40% of income in taxes now that I am retired.
During my working career the better part of my income was taxed at 60% to which I received zero services out side of snow clearing.
We already have quality services.
Again, would not be beneficial for a summer residence.
We have the services now and have no issues.
I don't have enough information.
This would have to be proven to me. Haven't seen this work fairly ever.
We would not get any better quality for extra money charged.
Our community is small and any regional board would not incur the astronomical costs of say water and sewer in such a small area. It would not be economically feasible to do so. Right we maintain our own water and sewer and our Local Service District looks after the garbage collection. If we want a street light around our home, it is paid for by individuals.
They could, if established and operated properly, but in the last decade and more I have witnessed nothing like that.
I've lived here for 30 years, if I thought I needed better service I would have moved a long time ago. That's a perk of living here not paying for things that I don't have too.
I am a seasonal resident who cannot even find out whether is trash collection service would come up the hill to our house. Our road is privately maintained, meaning people can drive on
As above.
Having large land owners in my area will drive more people away.
I prefer the water I have now rather than treated water that is not fit to drink. I lived in a town with all these services and there was no advantage just another big bill to pay.
I provide my own septic and water, what can regionalization really offer me? Nothing!
It would be more organized and everyone would receive the same service.
Quicker and adding services we don't have at all.
We already have the necessary established services via private businesses. Plus I don't want light pollution from street lights.

<p>We have what we already need. We already have services as an unincorporated community. We have our own wells, crowd funded fire fighting, provincial government covers basic road maintenance and snow removal. And a regional facility offers garbage collection for much less. We are too far from a large centre to gain benefit from joining them.</p>
<p>See 22b.</p>
<p>There isn't anything that could make it better.</p>
<p>As above.</p>
<p>Our local Service District is efficient in securing all our needs through community effort.</p>
<p>Regionalization will offer consistent control of services, enforce payment for services and hopefully enforcement of land use.</p>
<p>There is no evidence to support this claim and must to point out the opposite.</p>
<p>Unknown if it would or not.</p>
<p>Maybe more funding and more interest.</p>
<p>See 22.</p>
<p>We need less governance, not more! People can't afford to pay all the tax on income, gas, clothes now let alone another regional tax!! Is the government going to reimburse me for my well and septic system? I highly doubt it!</p>
<p>Too large of an area geographically, there would be too much conflict.</p>
<p>Don't need services.</p>
<p>See my answer above, its the same for this question.</p>
<p>I'm quite happy with the services I'm receiving now. Not interested in paying more fees or</p>
<p>Over the past 40 years there have been talks of Freshwater joining Carbonear or Victoria, this would be of no advantage to the residents of Freshwater. Those communities have nothing to</p>
<p>The councils in the area are dysfunctional. And there are a lot of issues with the local council members serving their own personal agenda.</p>
<p>A structured plan is better for all concerned.</p>
<p>History.</p>
<p>Lets take garbage collection for example. Currently the Local Service District hires a local contractor to provide this service. Great, it lets the little guy get some work. But a nearby town would tender the entire garbage collection service to one large company. They would focus on the large center and not on the small areas. There would be a ream of paperwork that said company would have to abide by, and it would serve to increase our costs, and provide a service that was far less customizable to our community, than what we have now.</p>
<p>Would give a place to direct complaints about road maintenance.</p>
<p>The short answer is a short question. Can you convince me that adding an additional layer of government and bureaucracy will make a pothole cheaper to fill?</p>
<p>The large towns, municipalities are the only ones to benefit from this.</p>
<p>Public Works for all residents, leads to better service.</p>
<p>My community and neighbouring communities are poorly managed and the services they offer reflects the poorly managed towns.</p>
<p># 1 my income can't handle it and #2 I gave my explanations in # 22 question.</p>
<p>See no difference and have better quality services than some nearby municipalities now.</p>
<p>As stated previously I feel that this would only be an extra cost to me and of no benefit</p>
<p>It would not because the main focus of services will be toward areas of greater population.</p>
<p>We all have the same basic services as they do without the hassle.</p>
<p>The cost to supply services, water, sewer, etc. would be impractical due to distance between individual properties and communities.</p>

Share costs.
Would offer better services in the municipalities in the region. Services in the Local Service Districts would remain the same non existent. Only thing that would change is the addition of another layer of government to redirect funds as lobbied for by Municipalities Newfoundland
The only service that needs improvement is road maintenance which is already not a local service. But what roads in Newfoundland don't need work?
Many communities are very nice that have councils. Much more appealing and obviously keep property values up.
We have good quality service now.
Snow clearing is critical to getting to work and appointments during the winter months.
We have a great council that are far ahead of most I know in Newfoundland and Labrador.
I'm not sure what would improve. We have a well equipped well trained fire department. We all have good water and maintain our own septic systems.
Same as above.
I am happy with the way things are.
I don't see any benefit to regionalization.
Same reason as above.
It is not fair for a resident of a incorporated Municipality to pay Provincial Taxes to support non incorporated areas. These areas get free provincial government snow clearing, road maintenance, etc. They often pay next to nothing for fire protection and shame incorporated Municipalities to respond to emergencies in their area when they are required. Provincial Government cannot keep "giving away" services to these areas. It is not fair. Everyone in the province should be paying a property tax. With regards to fire service the duplication of services in the Conception Bay North area is unreal. With a regional county system everything can be better spread out and better allocated. Everyone can pay into the pot for all residents to avail of. The prosperity of the bigger communities can benefit the smaller ones. This blows my
See above.
May work for larger communities where some services can be shared between them, but we have nothing to share, just get taxed and receive no additional services. From my experience with government, regionalization will end up costing everyone a lot more and receiving fewer services. The bureaucracy created will eat up any possible savings.
The area we live is difficult to regionalize. Services are restricted due to weather conditions and geography of the area. Wreckhouse would restrict emergency services when high winds and the distance travelled in the other direction would not be feasible. As of now there are restrictions in effect when winds are up and our community is on our own.
I believe that people still need to be able to live a life of their own choosing. In areas where the majority do not want this service then that should be considered.
Population too small.
Our snow clearing is inconsistent and relies on someone's personal equipment working properly. A proper snow plow would be incredible.
See response to Question 23.
Right now, we have no services, only garbage collection and local fire department.
I have no complaints with any services we have now, which are primarily offered by the
We already have a local volunteer fire department and our own wells. Why would we want to pay more for less service from further away? No!
It would just add things we don't want.
I am satisfied with my current level of service.

I feel we have equal quality services as any other neighbouring communities. Less the fire
Same as question 21.
Need more info.
This may be true for the water quality, as there is often a boil order.
It's good the way we have it now. Our neighboring communities have Local Service District and they only have street lights more than us.
Again, I am not sure it will but hope so.
Would want to know specifics.
Because my community is small and has no surrounding communities that we could avail from to render us any services.
Unless they pay to fix my roads which is owned by the Newfoundland Government it's not
You can hire the appropriate people to execute the better services.
We have water, sewer and garbage pickup within our community. Regionalizing would not provide better services - just more difficult to access.
We would have less representation.
We have adequate services and our tax money is sufficient to meet the cost of our services. We do not want to subsidize the services of other towns. We are self sufficient. Not costing the government any money other than roads, which is no different than other cities and towns. Are the municipalities willing to share their Municipal Operating Grants and other grants with
I think regionalization would make for accountability e.g. open communication.
I don't believe the tax payers of small rural communities are interested in eliminating local services or jobs in their communities.
It can work if run properly. Unfortunately politics often play a part of making better quality
Pooling of resources is more efficient in a sparsely populated region.
Same as 22b, but Newfoundland and Labrador needs to impose a Nova Scotian and Quebecois civic governance system of counties and regional municipalities.
We are contracting others to do our fire protection and garbage collection which is working well but we need to be able to be more involved in planning and development. We have no capacity to do that in a Local Service District.
Garbage pickup - they currently come once a week to pick up garbage and recycling for a decent fee. How can you improve on the quality of that service.
Water - we currently have 60 Psi water that is chlorinated to the government standard that is automated and monitored by trained Local Service District members - it takes five minutes to check on the alarms and if anything is signaled a contractor is brought in - what better quality can we get.
Potable Water Treatment - system was installed and is all automated with set alarms and set
See 22 b.
Communities in the area are spread apart that services such as water could not be shared in most cases. We already share fire services between the five local communities. Out of five communities, only one is unincorporated, the other operate under Local Service District
Don't see a need for it at this time.
Some services are more cost efficient if pooled.
More taxes to recreation and healthcare, as well as better infrastructure.
We are probably paying less for services now then what a regional government would charge for a downgraded service.

<p>For the very small communities, you need to be careful about what services they need. We already have the Regional Services Act - why not use that rather than creating another level of government?</p> <p>Or could you create a group that works to help communities explore and take advantage of shared servicing? Most towns are too busy to individually undertake the necessary analysis</p>
<p>See above answer.</p>
<p>Sharing service by several communities reduces costs.</p>
<p>See above statement.</p>
<p>Recent attempts to provide a regional waste collection in our area resulted in an empty truck driving over the roads in the area looking for household waste to collect. The net result was more greenhouse gases being emitted into the environment.</p>
<p>Same answer as 22.(b).</p>
<p>We don't require so-called "better services."</p>
<p>Better quality would mean more money is put into the services not the management positions</p>
<p>Regionalizing Local Service Districts would be better but not under one township where the Local Service Districts lose their rights and have no say in what is provided and taxed. If regionalizing is going to happen within Local Service Districts and Municipalities it has to be done with the consent and understanding that the Local Service Districts will have a position on</p>
<p>Same as 22(b).</p>
<p>See above.</p>
<p>Not sure as to the structure of a regional Local Service District board and how it would affect</p>
<p>Ability to focus on areas of need, research, innovate, rather than same services for all forever.</p>
<p>Not likely on a per dollar paid basis.</p>
<p>I'm not sure that it would be better quality of services.</p>
<p>Leave it alone.</p>
<p>As stated above.</p>
<p>Garbage collection is essentially already regional except for the neighbouring town which incur higher fees as a result. People live in these areas for a reason.</p>
<p>Everyone would have an equal voice on the services required/provided.</p>
<p>Just another way to increase taxes and give governments friends a job. There is nothing good can come from regionalism.</p>
<p>We need more infrastructure.</p>
<p>As stated above.</p>
<p>Explain to me how things would be better. Like previous answer the bigger community would benefit not the smaller ones.</p>
<p>As it stands now, the Local Service District is pleased with our relationship with the joint Services Board. Changes may bring more cost and red tape.</p>
<p>We go back to having to convince someone who does not live here why we need something.</p>
<p>We are self-sufficient. Everyone pitches in when things need to be done.</p>
<p>I have lived in Corner Brook, they have ridiculously high fees and subpar services. The roads in there are atrocious, the snow clearing is subpar, and we couldn't even drink the tap water. I could never understand what all the fees in there were for other than purchasing unnecessary technology for the city workers (specifically iPad that did not get used.)</p>
<p>We are happy with what we have.</p>
<p>My community is too far away from any other Local Service Districts or towns to share services.</p>



Regional government will add yet another layer of bureaucracy to our two already dysfunctional levels of government. It would provide many opportunities for stuffing friends and family into government jobs, sole sourcing contracts to friends, family and political supporters and further burden the already overburdened taxpayers.

Infrastructure would be put in place to host a town council and fire hall. I feel faster response times to problem areas would definitely be enhanced. Roads would be maintained on a regular basis. I see an increase of local burn barrels. We need local environment enforcement to stop the burning of copper wire and rubber tires that release carcinogens and toxins into the ground and air. Many of our creeks have some form of grey water running into them. We need local enforcement of animal control. A town council would monitor and clean up these

See above.

This is the only way residents can get modern day services.

Ditto. We have always had, or attempted to have, regionalized services in our area. If the provincial government decides to mandate this approach, all we have done could become a I feel we would lose more than we would gain in the long run.

Its fine like it is now.

As above.

Services and the needs of the community will be completely separated by a thick layer of bureaucracy that will in no way benefit us locally. Services would be costlier, less effective and It would only make it better to the people who can afford it.

Neighbouring towns have more services.

There has been an attempt already with the Western Regional Waste Management Committee to regionalize garbage that resulted in the garbage bill tripling in one year. Our community had its own dump. Was this explored as an alternative rather than truck garbage hours away? There was a community meeting with approx. 50 attendees. People were left feeling sad, powerless and defeated. This was not a good experience with regional government.

We are a small community in a string of other such communities and the distance for street lighting or sewer could cost more than anyone could pay

Past History shows this is not the situation

our needs and services would be assessed and evaluated by council living 35-100kms away

We have all that require with the LSD. They do a great job

We will be controlled by other town 60-100km away

would be controlled by other town 60-100km away

because what less can you give up. Our local service district is quite happy with what we have we are doing quite well thank you. Regional Government will create extra bureaucracy at the expense of our residents (i.e. taxes that will add extra burden to us financially.) we function totally independently (no grants, mof's, no gas tax refunds)

I don't feel that our community would benefit from additional services because we've all have our own water and sewer systems, we pay for fire and garbage and can avail of the local landfill as part of our garbage fee. In today's times regarding recycling most people if not all can

No other services are required and no additional services are financially viable

we have all the necessary services we need and we are not millions in the hole

for the population base and location services are good as is

services are good now

**Q24a: Regionalizing local services would be more cost effective in your region.**

**Q24b: Why do you feel this way?**

It probably wouldn't be cost effective as local district but will offer more to all communities
More government involvement means more salaries and taxes. People in small communities are mostly seniors on small incomes that own their homes but have no ability to pay more than they do already. This will force seniors and poor out of owned homes if taxes go up with
See last comment.
We would have to pay more taxes and we already pay more taxes than any other province in
The people of these communities struggle enough now than adding extra taxes and expenses to everyday living.
We see other towns who went to regionalized (town council) cost of services only to pay three times as much for the services they already have, so I strongly disagree with town council influence or any idea of it.
I believe the cost of the services would rise significantly with regionalization with the hiring of more personal in management.
See 22.
We would pay triple for minimal extra services not counting the costs of permits.
See comments in 23b. Regional structures are usually there to reduce cost to large communities and increase cost to small communities with no benefit in service to small
Our Local Service District is run on a volunteer basis. Fees are based on cost of services
If you are looking to add services over and above what we currently pay for, then the cost would be higher and we cannot afford to pay for more services.
Our community is largely made up of elderly people and those on fixed incomes. Regionalizing would add a very large and unfavorable increase in expense to most households. The Local Service District in our area is very well run and they have been meeting the needs of the local communities. The Local Service District has taken care of the Fire/911, Garbage/Recycling, and Water services for many years. They keep the public costs at a minimum and bring jobs to the local area. Given our geographical challenges, being regionalized would bring little to no benefit to this area. In actuality, it would only bring undue financial hardship to most of the
Our Local Service District presently pays for our portion of the fire protection costs. The waste disposal is more expensive since a regional board took over. That regional board cannot provide garbage collection as cost effective as the private contractor collecting our garbage. Question 25. How large of a region are you referring to? Our present region of seven communities is doing a good job providing fire protection and emergency planning services. I don't think a much larger region would do any better.
Decentralizing local services would be more cost effective. Less transportation costs, for one.
Fees will only go up but services probably will not change for our area.
Residents would pay for services that they would not get.
More bureaucracy = more costs.
Only one it would be cost effective for us government. They provide road maintenance.
When I see how much the federal and provincial government spends on municipal government with grants for water and sewer projects and paving roads incorporated towns costs much less.
With 100 plus kms of roadway in the area with less than 3000 people, it would not be possible to be cost efficient.
Our Local Service District is self supporting and works very well.
Bigger would not necessarily be better.
Currently live tax free zone.

I feel if we were forced to join the neighboring community they would use it as a increased source of revenue to the town and not provide the quality of service we presently receive.
Answered above.
Not necessarily, we have a well run organization and a town that is financially sound.
Would be just looking to make money to try and serve their own mistakes.
Can't get any cheaper than \$36 per month.
Our local district committee is in our area and know what is needed.
City of St John's, Mount Pearl, Conception Bay South, Torbay, Paradise All have town managers, supervisors equipment garages, etc. Should all amalgamated.
A lot of people can't afford these services.
All it will do is force me to pay more money I don't have. There are no nearby places to
The 11 communities in our area pay the same rate for services.
No idea of how it would improve.
We pay double now privately for services. Be a lot cheaper if we were taking in under some kind of government.
We would be forced to pay extra for services we do not have (i.e.: water and sewer)!
It would only be more cost effective if for other services they were optional/opt-in to pay for.
Beyond what we pay for now (fire protection, waste removal, recycling) we don't require or wish
Giving less people control of a bigger area would only causes trouble, if we have problems now we can discuss at local meetings or visit our local office not some big headquarters where they don't even know where you live.
It would cost more.
Cost are currently reasonable with the Local Service District.
I feel like the bigger towns in the region would reap the benefits.
See comment in 22 b.
This remains to be seen, but it is the general opinion that our fees would increase considerably with very little increase in our services.
As above.
Anything is better than what we have now.
Governments don't work that way.
Why would we want regionalizing then we would end up paying tax to another area and see
The Local Service District barely functions. I don't like paying for services that may or may not
All of the services that would come with regionalization are already offered very cost effectively.
Our community is a low to medium income community, regionalizing would decrease household usable income for less quality service.
Further to this, my community is largely an agricultural one, properties vary from one acre to well over 100 acres. Regionalizing would cause such extreme taxation that people would either be house/property poor or would be forced to subdivide their properties leading to decreased potential for agriculture. Here in Newfoundland and Labrador, where more than 80% of food comes from off island, all large properties and agricultural areas must be preserved as such. It is nice to think that regionalization would maintain these larger properties, but the reality is much different. There are news stories very often of small municipalities driving out small farms, denying livestock and vegetable production, etc. Our community is home to a variety of farms from dairv. vegetable. honevbees. etc.
See above.

<p>Not from the consumer perspective, regionalization will create a bigger “cost of administration” for services that are currently overseen by our volunteer local service district board members.</p>
<p>Many services required in a large municipality are not needed or wanted in a rural area. We currently are paying for the services we need and receive, without the additional financial burden associated with operating a municipal council. The reality is that many small municipalities in the province are not able to offer any more services than we already have under the Local Service District structure. The biggest drawback in Local Service District is caused by the legislation which prevents them from using the same tools to enforce collection</p>
<p>Already answered.</p>
<p>Cost would rise, someone would price gouge more so than occurs now.</p>
<p>I think it will help some communities within the region. I think you will save a lot of money by centralizing the collection of fees. We also have no idea on how large this region is proposed</p>
<p>We would pay higher fees but not have more of the important essential services (i.e.. water</p>
<p>I agree but not sure if cost effective but easier to manage.</p>
<p>We would pay more then we are now.</p>
<p>This is a rural area with long spaces from residence to residence. There will never be any public water supply, public sewage system, street lighting, etc.</p>
<p>Residences in this area maintain there own water and sewage supplies, pay for garbage collection.</p>
<p>As far as snow clearing and road maintenance (which does not exist only is sporadic very small areas) should be some reward for paying 40% of income in taxes not w that I am retired.</p>
<p>During my working career the better part of my income was taxed at 60% to which I received zero services out side of snow clearing.</p>
<p>This will cause higher fees and more taxes.</p>
<p>I pay taxes on my permanent residence. I only need fire protection on my summer residence.</p>
<p>We pay less then \$500 a year now and have all services.</p>
<p>I don't have enough information to comment.</p>
<p>Experience.</p>
<p>We already have all the services we need for no extra costs.</p>
<p>Again, the principle of the idea is great, but without proper consultation and an understanding of rural communities, it is doomed to continued failure for the communities they are supposed</p>
<p>Nothing is cost effective when I'm paying zero dollars now.</p>
<p>Shared costs among residents are less than each residence having to provide their own</p>
<p>It is a much more fair to all residents of the province. I don't feel the current system is</p>
<p>Driving more people away makes costs higher for those who choose to stay with there land.</p>
<p>All it wants is money.</p>
<p>The services would create an increase in expenses for each household only creating further strain on fixed income families in the area.</p>
<p>Regionalization would only end up costing me and other community members money for services we already have for free or that our taxes already cover.</p>
<p>We have several local service districts plus an area development association plus a regional volunteer fire department. If all these were under one organization it should be advantageous in accessing funds for equipment, upkeep, and training for fire workers.</p>
<p>It would hopefully provide services we don't have but people would be willing to pay for as a</p>
<p>Services are already appropriately priced.</p>

The cost is currently very minimal. We only pay for garbage services, and take care of our own individual affairs outside of that.
Again too far from a large centre to make it worth while for either community, would be a constant strain on services, and cause fighting within the communities, again, do not change
I don't feel that I have enough knowledge to voice my opinion.
As many residents have large properties and their own water and sewer, I feel an annual fee would be more acceptable than property tax based on a tiered property evaluation.
We are now still able to cover our needs through our Local Service District.
I don't see any cost efficiency for our existing services. The cost of fire protection and waste pickup is quite reasonable and won't decrease. If anything, we will pay for services we now get without extra fees which is okay. The concern is that any taxes collected for these services actually get spent only on those services.
Where is the evidence.
Costs would be higher than today.
I know that cost will rise but would gain more services.
By spreading costs across all community dwellers.
We need less government, not more!
Higher taxes but nothing to show for it, you can forget provincial government support with the current fiscal state they are in.
My \$310 is paid in full every year. Our community works together to get things done. We have local water and sewer, for the most part. Those not on the local water and sewer lines have their own working wells and septic systems. Our streets are cleared by Government Services in the winter. We are a very clean community. I don't find that we are lacking in any services. Why would I want to pay more to get less done by joining with another town who, as far as I have researched, cannot supply the proper services to the number of residents they have already. For Freshwater to amalgamate with another town would be the start of our services
More taxes would have to be in place.
Not to the homeowners who would be forced to pay more fees and taxes.
Over the past 40 years there have been talks of Freshwater joining Carleton Place or Victoria, this would be of no advantage to the residents of Freshwater. Those communities have nothing to
The councils in the area are dysfunctional. And there are a lot of issues with the local council members serving their own personal agenda.
Everyone pays his or her fair share.
History.
Because the town would either have its own unionized workers do the work in town vehicles, or tender the work for the entire region to one large contractor. With such a large contract there would be a huge barrier to entry, which would prevent most of the small local mom and pop contractors from bidding on it. Just take a look at the garbage collection in Fermeuse on the Southern Shore. The local contractor who previously provided garbage collection simply couldn't take on a contract for the whole Southern Shore. So a large company won the bid. I expect if you analyzed that situation you'd see that the service declined and cost increased. Look to see how bulk garbage was provided then versus now, and the cost.
I feel we would be paying more for less of a service.
Because the Provincial Government is ---- near broke. The municipal governments except maybe one are in debt beyond what their tax base can afford. I believe the Province would do better removing municipal governments than trying to create more for an aging population that can't afford what we have let alone take on more.

The self sufficient communities will end up carrying the costs of the other communities.
Tax base, means more services.
Services that each community offers could be combined.
Our communities are doing just fine the way they are.
Municipalities charge more for lessor quality service than received now.
Definitely not. What we have in our area is sufficient. We do not need anything else that would cost us more than what we are paying now.
Fees paid by all areas would be only used in areas of greater population.
It would depend on the cost passed on to the householder.
Cost less.
Adding services that are not needed is not a way to reduce costs. I get that a town wants a swimming pool and it cost to much to maintain with a provincial grant. The argument that the people in the region should pay for is already covered by the provincial grants and user fees. Increase user fees. If the town is complaining about the cost of water being a burden for housing a hospital and maintaining the rooms. What about the thousands of dollars collected from provincial employees of that hospital that call that town home. Just because I utilize that hospital and don't pay for water in the town doesn't mean that my provincial tax dollars don't contribute to that. If that's the argument then why should every tax payer that lives in a town We may have to pay more but the community would be much better off.
We are cost effective now.
Because right now there is no set road snow clearing time in the winter.
Would have to do breakdown of present and how regionalization would reduce or increase
We would end up paying for services we don't use or don't want.
Same as above.
I disagree.
You provide your cost savings, they don't exist.
Because I will be taxed on service I will never get for the next 15 years.
It is not fair for a resident of a incorporated Municipality to pay Provincial Taxes to support non incorporated areas. These areas get free provincial government snow clearing, road maintenance, etc. They often pay next to nothing for fire protection and shame incorporated Municipalities to respond to emergencies in their area when they are required. Provincial Government cannot keep "giving away" services to these areas. It is not fair. Everyone in the province should be paying a property tax. With regards to fire service the duplication of services in the Conception Bay North area is unreal. With a regional county system everything can be better spread out and better allocated. Everyone can pay into the pot for all residents to avail of. The prosperity of the bigger communities can benefit the smaller ones. This blows my mind how this is not already done. Newfoundland is way behind the 8 ball on this. Lets hope Right now I choose if I want the services. We rarely visit and I am not interested in subsidizing other's way of life. I feel that I do this enough as it is through our social taxing system.
Different parts of my area pay for services separately, but regionalization would allow all of us to take advantages of cheaper prices for multiple properties/larger areas.
Please see Question 23.
People in the communities know what's needed in their local area.
Geography and population would play too much of factor.

<p>The local municipalities are already taking enough from us. They charge our children just to work there, they have all the local jobs and business, all the government offices and huge income jobs that come with it.</p> <p>They have also wasted money on stadiums and parks and need money for their collapsing infrastructure. No extra money to be serviced last. No!</p> <p>No!</p>
<p>We pay for everything ourselves now. Provide for ourselves.</p>
<p>We have a very affordable annual fee that covers all the services required.</p>
<p>Same as question 23.</p>
<p>Need more information.</p>
<p>It's as cost effective as it's going to be. Regionalizing would increase our taxes.</p>
<p>We are paying enough for what we are getting.</p>
<p>If one local service pays more than the other, the cost will go up for the lower paying</p>
<p>I don't know.</p>
<p>No form of Government provides cost effective servicing.</p>
<p>Because my community is small and has no surrounding communities that we could avail from to render us any services.</p>
<p>Not applicable.</p>
<p>Sometimes we have to pay more for services for the level/quality.</p>
<p>We would have to pay more for services already provided to us.</p>
<p>Compare our fees - \$170 per year to municipalities. Those services best provided on a regional level are already provided that way.</p>
<p>We manage our own waste collection and disposal more cheaply. We share in fire protection based on a percentage of the fire department budget determined by the number of households in our Local Service District.</p>
<p>Supposedly as supplies would be purchased in bulk.</p>
<p>I don't pay now, it's going to cost me more.</p>
<p>Pooling of resources is more efficient in a sparsely populated region.</p>
<p>Regionalization will result in more shared civic services including water and sewage, fire protection, recreation, road maintenance, animal control, and waste management. However, while I agree that services should be shared, it should be done using a county and regional municipality model in order to reduce duplication.</p>
<p>We have a water system in one of the communities in our Local Service District and it is being run by volunteers. I would like to partner with other areas so that we can pay a trained person to look after our chlorination system.</p>
<p>We have Local Service District members that get reimbursed their cost to do any repairs that need to be made and the fees are set out by the Local Service District committee with input</p>
<p>Fees reflect current cost for services.</p>
<p>I feel it would be a lot more expensive.</p>
<p>More buying power and more attractive to get the interest of bidders.</p>
<p>If in my area (Twillingate Island) where to be one town if we pups provide a larger tax base and help keep the local health centre open with more services added.</p>
<p>Regionalization would result in another level of government to pay for along with all of the infrastructure they accumulate. We are presently using a small local business for waste collection not a large unionized service and a volunteer fire department not a paid department.</p>
<p>Too far flung.</p>
<p>People are being taxed to death for no services.</p>

Reduce costs.
I pay very little for the services I do have compared to people in municipalities and am content with the services I have for what I pay.
See above.
The small amount of household waste generated by seasonal residences in our area can be disposed of at our primary residence. Added this service to a seasonal residence is resulting double taxation and is not cost effective for the end users.
In my case I can't see it affecting me positively.
It will cost much more and not deliver benefits in my lifetime.
See above.
If Local Service District could work together with each other and the Municipalities it would save money as it could provide better services to the area as a whole area working together and a more cost effective way to spend funding and the dollar going alot further.
It wouldn't affect me.
See above.
Not sure as to the structure of a regional Local Service District board and how it would affect Consolidation rarely leads to reduced costs. Existing leases and entrenched participants figure large in expenditures. Perhaps if it expenses were fully transparent, but I am guessing they'd all need new offices, furniture, etc.
I wish and hope so. But only if structured to drastically limit (by statute) vanity projects and expansion of full time equivalent positions in such a government.
It would ensure that fees for services like fire protection are collected from everyone in the
We don't pay.
If there were regional governments you reduce administrative costs, upkeep of facilities. I feel there are not only regionalization issues but many of those small communities should be relocated. We cannot continue to pay for the services to maintain the large geographic area in
Regionalization of common services like fire services and garbage collection makes them cheaper. This is already the case.
I am not knowledgeable enough to answer this question.
Our services are as cost effective as possible. How could another fee for another level government be more cost effective?
All the communities on the shore would benefit.
Stated above.
How would it be more cost effective?
We only pay for garbage collection.
We currently only pay for garbage collection and water (if do not have own well). Regionalization would increase the cost of living in this area.
I am happy with what I currently pay and for the services I receive at the moment. If I had wanted something more than I would have moved to a place that offered that instead of Eastern Waste Management has little understanding of rural Newfoundland. We are over-charged for the service. Garbage collection was less expensive when it was done locally.
Cost effective for the government maybe; but not cost effective for me. I imagine our fees would go up and knowing that no one drives out here unless they have to (not even the police come here unless they have to), I truly believe that our services would seriously decline but
This would probably be more expensive to each resident for no real improvement.
My community is too far away from any other Local Service Districts or towns to share services.
Adding another layer of government would only increase costs to the taxpayers.



There is no cost for me right now. Department of Highways maintains the road. Volunteers run the Fire Hall. I would not mind paying community council fees for these services. It would be cost effective in many areas.
We have good compliance rates and are happy with our communities available services.
I pay more for private service we hire. Would be cheaper on residents to have some form of
Maybe on the surface, but it would be dependent on how the various regional governments are organized and how reflective they are of the various communities in the region. Would the larger communities hold sway over the smaller communities as in the service board providing waste disposal? Would there be paid positions on these regional governments and would this take away from services that could be provided by paid service personnel?
We already pay our way as everyone should, but regionalization would cost us more without gaining anything.
It is working now.
As above.
Consolidating a tax base will increase rates for individuals, being detrimental to anyone on a fixed income or struggling financially. Regionalization comes with an incredible amount of bureaucratic overhead that is costly, slow and serves as no benefit to the local communities.
It would hurt poor people.
Nothing comes without expense.
Currently our household is very happy with local government and our level of services. We are concerned regionalizing will simply result in more fee increases, such as what happened with
The made a farce of the waste management so I strongly feel they should stay away and leave us take care of our own affairs
no local voice, no grass roots input, preference given to urban centers
I feel the services in my region are fully fulfilled. Regionalization would bring nothing extra to our communities other than higher fees and us having little to no say in how things are ran.
we are rural area and least thought of
we are a rural area
Happy with current level of services offered by LSD
The residents of our LSD are quite please with their services. We are thankful for our provincial road services which we receive just like the municipalities around our province and the cities. NO difference. Regionalization will be another blow to our independent small community (rural NL). there will be taxes without improved services. ie. subsidization of municipaltiies (incorporated towns) that is what they are lobbying so strongly for.
Ive lived in my community for 60+ years and the service we received have been excellent in my opinion, I don't see how it could get any better.
no improved necessary or possible
Community councils have provided services that they can no longer afford because of small population living in them. Now they want neighbouring towns to join them or they them over. Really just to have a larger tax base but certainly not providing any new services.
Population in area can't afford nothing else
for our location LSD provides adequate services
services are good now

**Q25: Please indicate which services you think would be best provided at a regional level:**

**If other, please indicate**

Neither we are fine as a local district we don't need a council our local service does a splendid
We have everything we need in our beautiful communities. We are strong independent people and need or will gain nothing from a town council.
None we are fine as we are.
None we get great service now from our local district.
Introduction of property tax.
I am satisfied with the way things are...I don't see any need for change. Most people cannot afford to pay more and we have the services we need.
None of the above.
Have enough already, LSD does a great job providing what we have, governments would make it a mess.
None.
The essential services are supplied and maintained by the individual households in this area, the list of services proposed will never materialize regardless of money pumped into it.
None of the above we already have all the services we need.
None.
Nothing like I said before I got it all handled.
An service that is/may be required.
None.
Ambulance.
All services are satisfactory in our area.
Better ambulance service.
No other services required. Please leave our community alone. We need LESS government...not more!!!
I am happy with the services I'm receiving now.
None of the above!!!
Nothing.
N/A
Please share you're teams thoughts on how we will avail of enhanced services under this model. May-be via consultation meetings in our area.
None.
Nothing wrong with the services we are getting now. Leave us alone.
Everything.
Economic Development.
Policing.
We presently receive fire protection services from a regional volunteer fire department. Our waste disposal is provide by a much larger regional system. Emergency planning is through a local regional government. Most other services listed can be provided locally between existing towns. Everyone in the community I live in paid for their own water and sewer so we do not need a large regional government taxing us.
Land Use Planning; Note that there is already a Waste Management Board and system.
Development oversight.
None, I think it's fine like it is.
Monitoring of land use as noted in a previous answer.

Nothing for the small communities. It is just a way the larger communities see as getting taxes from the more efficient small communities.
None of the above.
These choices have me baffled. Rural Newfoundland isn't St. John's or Mount Pearl which depend on these things. No one here is demanding these things. Perhaps the Government has a money tree we don't know about?
Maybe garbage collection. I believe it may be a contracted group from another community who collects our garbage now.
The services checked are already being provided in our area, as what we would term regional services, with the exception of public water services.
we are happy with our current level of services
It would cost more for nothing extra. Fact
our communities would not benefit and we would pay higher fees and taxes, punishment for residing in the rural areas
We pay just enough for our services now. We do not pay property taxes ect. Its best as is for our people.
If government plans to assist rural areas it means more dollars from the people
Happy with current level of services offered by LSD
Right now we receive \$0 from the government purse. How is that for cost effectiveness? No bureaucracy. All volunteer service.
How do ou pain to make it more cost effective? I guess the government needs to reduce the cost of running all the different councils in the area but I don't feel it will benefit our community.
There would be significant more overhead and it would be necessary to finance dept already incurred by other communities
absolutely not. If we want a service we pay for it ourselves. Not getting services that counils can not afford especially given severe decline in populations in outport communities leaving major bills for very few people to pay for.
Fee would be high for small population, lot of senior in area
Expenses would increase for the small population we have
services are good now

**Q26: Do you have any additional comments?**

The area development does not work in this area, for all people it offers water, garbage and fire. It does nothing for young people and young families. They have government grants that does very little for the majority of the area. People are not involved in major problems with water issues. I have not had water in the last 20 years at times as long as 6 weeks and as recently as 5 months ago no water up to 10 days. They take the water maintenance crew on and off water issues to send to another area that lost their water for just moments while people are waiting for days with no water. They do not provide any water solutions to households without water for days at a time. It is a very biased group of individuals who put their issues and wants before the general public as a hold. They operate not as a business but as a group with

We would like to stay as a local service district we do not need a town council! We don't need any changes in Greens Harbour.

People do not subject to change very easily. Regionalizing means changing what we already know and what works for us. Adding extra expenses to our daily life means we will end up loosing more community members. There will be no one here to run these services.

Employees are hard to come by now. Leave well enough alone.

We will continue to be a independent district as I noted we have everything we need. This questionnaire was give over the platform Facebook on our community website, and I would appreciate if everyone in my area who may not have social media be giving a chance to participate in this opportunity. There is people who didn't know about this or the deadline dated for today September 3. Thank you.

Our ADA certainly has issues with local community members and services that need to be addressed such as water quality for those using the service, overdue fees, etc. I feel that a board comprised of local community members works better than being incorporated under another municipality where our issues are overlooked or viewed as less important in comparison to the issues experienced in larger centers.

I do not want to be part of a municipality.

We the people of Bay St George South are strongly against regionalization.

Only that I just found out about the "survey" through a Facebook comment. I also confirmed with the Local Service District Committee Secretary that they have not seen this request for

Regional Government is likely going to happen as it will be driven by the greater population centers. They want to lower the cost but keep their services. Local Service Districts and Unincorporated Areas will be lead to the slaughter. Regional Service Board is a testament on how the large get what they want and the small just pay the piper. There is no need to add another level of government, go back and restructure the Regional Service so there is some accountability to all communities. The Management of Regional Service Board needs to understand the words "service" and "accountability".

Our LSD and unincorporated communities are not geographically near one another in this area. Maybe this could make sense in some areas where such communities are close together. Regionalization of our waste management has caused many issues in our region because of this. Residents have to pay higher fees when living farther from waste management  
No.

I do not and never will support Regionalization in our Local Service District.

As chair of our Local Service District, I am answering this questionnaire on behalf of all our residents. We are not in favor of a Regional Board or Government. People have a hard time making ends meet and adding additional services is not feasible for them. Thank you!!

- a) What size regions are being planned? What criteria is being used to determine region size.
- b) Are fees for service being considered or property tax? We already pay fees for services so why do we need larger service boards?
- c) Will there be any guarantees that service fees will be less then we pay now or that the quality of service will be substantially improved?
- d) What kind of representation will each community have on regional service boards of regional councils?
- e) Will I be paying for services in other communities that are not available in the place I live?
- f) Will anyone from Municipal Affairs or the Joint Working Group be visiting LSD's to explain what is being planned, why this is such an issue and how it will affect everyone?
- g) How come the joint working group does not have representation from LSD's?
- h) There are many more questions but very few answers in this survey.

Unincorporated regions are a blessing - one of the last bastions of freedom in an increasingly regimented commodification of place occurring around the world. We get to build what we want where we want so long as we hold a deed. We don't need to ask for permission or forgiveness. Allowing for unincorporated communities in our governance structure was and is a compromise with anarchic baymen who were used to informal local economic systems, and was a way to allow indigenous peoples freedom without admitting we were and are here. We are already experiencing restrictions on that freedom; in order to connect to the power grid, a property must have an approved septic system, for example. We aren't permitted to repair or clear roads ourselves. Our community is full of intelligent, inventive, and ingenious people who are more than capable of taking care of each other and the place we live, but our hands are becoming ever more progressively tied as we try to live our lives. It sincerely feels like the gradual choking out of services that precedes resettlement. I'm scared for the future of my community and our way of life. I love living independently, and living without having to ask permission from a council to put in a flower bed or build a garage. I would never feel like I actually owned a property in a municipality. I cringe at the very concept. How we live works for us, and I do not want councils and board members from outside my community having any innut on our way of life. And let us put plows on our trucks! You do an awful job way too slow

Every household in my LSD pays \$980.00 per year pays for all these services mentioned.

I feel there would be no benefit to the residents of my area. We would have to pay more for the services we are currently receiving and get less. In the example of snow clearing, I would be concerned with the priority status the area would receive.

Local Service Districts need to have specific seats on any regional structure/government in the same ratio as municipal councils. This is a serious matter for Local Service Districts and would result in a very public response if not imbedded in any new structures.

Let unincorporated communities make their own decisions.

Leave it as. If our area was regionalized it would cost more for everyone and less would be accomplished with the funds due the politics. The area with the larger population would reap all the benefits while the area which I live in where the population is spread out would only get the Please keep our Local service District in place, it works well and is self supporting.

What the LSD Committees need is strong support from the Government to collect outstanding fees. We also require the ability to pass by-laws to better control development in our local

<p>I agree philosophically with Regional Waste Disposal (re helping reduce illegal garbage disposal in our environments) however I would also be content with bringing my garbage back to my municipality since I do feel that I am being taxed twice for garbage. I don't see any benefits to changing from an unincorporated area to a local service district as long as I know that there will be a response from a fire station and understanding that I would have to pay the full cost of such a response. I would rather pay a yearly fee for fire service as I have done in the past but the closest fire department does not offer that service now.</p>
<p>Regional services could be an asset to our province but only if properly organized and run, so far I haven't seen anything that makes me think that is going to happen. It seems to be more of a "knee jerk" response to municipalities Newfoundland asking for LSD's and unincorporated to pay for services that the Provincial Government supplies to these areas but not directly to</p>
<p>Would only benefit Port Aux Basques. Never seen anything here on that we don't already pay for in some way or provide ourselves.</p>
<p>Would like to be more informed.</p>
<p>Our Local Service District today is effective.</p>
<p>Emergency planning would be a great start. However if there is going to be a great cost incurred and that cost is going to be transferred to the resident it will not be accepted. If you use the faculty's already available and provide an emergency plan for the area it may be</p>
<p>Leave the rural communities alone.</p>
<p>Our province needs a radical change in how we provide service, everyone wants everything but wishes to pay nothing. Taxation should be spread to all.</p>
<p>Unincorporated areas require better internet and cell service. Why are they paying for services they don't receive.</p>
<p>This is just another way for the Government to charge more taxes without providing more services. who will pay for it, I can barely pay for what I have now. If guaranteed no extra costs then maybe consider it.</p>
<p>The distance that separates our area from other communities is prohibitive to sharing services. The 11 communities share services and operate efficiently.</p>
<p>No.</p>
<p>No more comment.</p>
<p>Government got to stop been bullied by cabin owners and the group coatt cabin owners against everything. Thanks.</p>
<p>My fear is that smaller communities, such as ours, will be forced into regionalization and the fees that will be added on will cause financial hardships for many people. We will pay more without seeing the benefits!</p>
<p>I feel Local Service Districts would not bring services we don't have already. We pay for our waste services. Water and sewer services would not be feasible for such a small group in large area. We had a great local waste management service and was forced to give it up. Now we pay more for less service. Local service districts would be more of the same.</p>
<p>I think regionalization is a terrible idea for my area, we have great services from our local service district.</p>
<p>I have recently moved back to my home community and because of the issues I have with some who do not take care of their property as I do and try to bully others over such things as property boundaries and "private" road/lane way use I am considering moving back to the city and just using this property in a seasonal capacity. I believe the introduction of civic addresses, mandatory property title/ownership and the issuance of property taxes would reduce the power of the bully-Ishmael attitudes that have existed for decades in these rural communities and</p>

As a lifelong resident of this community I don't feel the need to change anything. We have all of the necessary services and have done fine to this point. I am not willing to take on more expenses for services I don't feel I need.

No I agree to keep Bay St. George South Local Service District just the way it is.

None.

Study the regional government structure in Nova Scotia. They have a good balance of town governance and rural regional governance (which includes a museum and archive in every County). Copy what they have done across the island (and perhaps in Labrador as well). No amalgamations. Every small community keeps its own identity. Create large regional (County) boundaries. Everyone pays property taxes. I am outraged that an industrial farm in our community that has gross sales in the millions of dollars pays less than \$500.00 in LSD fees (I'm not sure if the farm fee has increased in our district). This farm pays the same as a small family farm that grows vegetables for the local area. As far as I know regional government in NL is unevenly structured, has forced communities to amalgamate and lose local identity. It's a mess and it dates back to the 1950s when services were limited. It all needs to be re-thought into a better, more logical system of regional government. I live where services are still limited - inadequate fire protection (if my house caught fire it would be allowed to burn to the ground), no road maintenance, poor snow clearing using a highway plow that fills my driveway completely, no pickup of compost, no pickup of hazardous waste (such as fluorescent light bulbs), no street lighting (people have died from having no street lighting in our community). Street lights facing houses instead of lighting the road! UNBFI IEFVARI FI

LSD's have not worked for many communities; small communities have few residents that will take on the tasks, and the ones that do find that it is the most thankless volunteer work possible. No Government support to the LSD's, committee members don't get so much as a tax break as do with Fire Fighters. Municipal Affairs and Environment have had a difficult time trusting LSD Committee's with the financial aspects of running a community. It has proven so difficult to even get a credit card that I as the Chair of our committee will often use my personal credit to obtain parts and supplies. Government has to bear most of this responsibility from resting on their hands as many of these communities struggle to provide services. I for one would welcome Regionalization in this area, but I doubt our Provincial Government has the drive to see it through fairly. I for one am considering my own well (water source), especially when I can't see anyone willing to take over my position in the future. LSD's as a whole are in dire need. If regionalization is the answer then bring it on. but if this is an attempt to build

Leave things as they are. I hope you are actually going to read these surveys. Poor advertising of this survey. Most probably won't see it. Way to go.

As stated earlier in this questionnaire, I am a full time resident on my road. There are I believe seven other full-time residents and we have no snow clearing. We are run by a committee of five residents of St. John's who own cabins on the road and one resident of Georgetown. I know historically this was a cabin area but there are now full-time residents there. We need snow clearing as insurance companies will not provide coverage in the winter if the road isn't cleared and fire trucks cannot get access to our property. I would love to know who to contact to either get Department of Transportation involved or a Local Service District. There is a Local Service District in Georgetown but for some reason we don't fall under it even though we are in Georgetown. As soon as pavement ends, the Local Service District ends.

Tax grab!!!!

I do not want this regionalization.

Our LSD does not follow the rules and regulations and the committee does as they see fit. I want more transparency and accountability.

Where I live there are two roads that are maintained by WS&T. Upkeep of these roads are no different than WS&T maintaining the main roads through any of the neighbouring towns, we all pay provincial income tax. There are no services that regional government could provide at a more cost effective rate, and most services they would not be able to provide at all. We all have private water and sewer systems and pay for our garbage collection and fire protection. Several times residents have repaired potholes on their own, because waiting for WS&T, a pothole turns into a crater. Government should just leave well enough alone.

I have a seasonal home in NL, in non-Covid times I live there five months of the year. When not in NL I live in a regional municipality and understand why NL needs to regionalize. The population in the province is aging rapidly, the retired outport people I know in my community have what I consider to be very low income, living solely on; OAS, GIS and CPP/A and are barely able to keep up with basic living costs i.e.. food, heating, electricity, transportation and housing. Regionalization is going to create an additional financial burden - I saw the fear in people's faces when I attended the "Regionalization Road Show" in 2018 (might have been 2017). Most in my group stopped listening or hearing after increased taxes were mentioned. This is going to be a very hard sell for your government to implement. I wish you well.

It is reprehensible that this plan is moving forward at a time when the public have been unable to attend any presentations or information sessions due to Covid restrictions. I also find it appalling that the working group consists of people representing only groups associated with municipalities but not representatives from LSD's. It seems to be a continuing practice that has been in place for many years that residents of Local Service Districts are not represented on these types of groups. Consultation by the working group with LSD's is far from adequate considering the potential impact on people who live in rural settings. People who favour living in a municipality always seem to think that their way is better without giving those of us who enjoy rural living an opportunity to have an equal voice in the formation of any proposed changes. I participated in previous consultations around land use policies for the Humber Valley and this approach was very evident then as it is now. We pay the same provincial taxes and contribute significantly to the economy of nearby municipalities but our contributions are never recognized as being of any value. Instead we frequently are labelled as free loading, when in fact we are self sufficient for most of our basic needs and pay for any services accessed outside our own LSD. I am not against regionalization but I completely disagree with regionalization if we do not have an equal voice at the table. I especially disagree with

We don't need uprisings in this province nor do we need rural pitted against urban.

Local service and recreation committees are relying on volunteers. With small areas decreasing in population it's getting harder to upkeep services but some changes need to be made in order for those of us than can to be able to do that. If government funding is available for projects, locals need to be able to work on them that are not on EI, like retirees. People no longer have the incentive to work on these projects. I for one would love to be able to do things that need to be done with our Community Hall but can't.

I think most communities only need regional fire protection. Other services can be obtained privately at a much lower cost.

Our local LSD committee has been keeping our weekly community garbage collection running and offers an annual community clean up. Our fire protection is contracted from the neighbouring community. I am not interested in regionalization at this time.



I agree that regionalization is easier to manage but it will come at a higher cost for each household. If farmers have to pay for land taxes it would be very expensive. We have the services we need for a low cost right now.
As a retiree I pay 40% of my income in taxes to Government while receiving little to no services. What will additional taxes and fees offer?
I totally oppose the regionalization of this community.
A lot of these perks are good for a permanent residence in a planned neighbourhood but not beneficial for a summer residence that is situated about 500 feet or more from main road.
I see no advantage to change what we have. We have the services we need and we pay for
It is possible that services might be improved with regionalization, but I don't know what the improvements would be or how much they would cost.
We need to have more information supplied to us. You must include the local committees that take care of this now. We have a lot to offer on what works.
Very comfortable with present services. Do not believe regionalization will benefit us in any way or situation. It will only cost us more and we will not gain anything from it.
As indicated in my previous comments, I do not feel that regionalization would be a benefit in any way to our community. The members of our Local Services Committee live in our community whereas a regional board would be made up of members from many communities and I feel our little community would be overlooked in much of the decision making.
The inclusion of seasonal, cottage or vacation home owners in this survey is disturbing. Not all property owners are the same, and in most jurisdictions residency, meaning year round, is a requirement to inclusion. This keep control of the area in the hands of the people who live there, not just pop up for some weekend fun.
Don't come knocking on my door.
I'd like to know about this before I can give more comments.
I feel regionalization is long overdue in the province.
I disagree strongly with regionalization.
This whole process is a waste of time and money. Just leave well enough alone.
I am in favour of regionalization of local services. I am in favour in the people having a say in this development instead of it being legislated on us. People in this area are scared their land will be heavily taxed. I don't have an issue in paying taxes for services but it must be fair and there has to be input from the people. There was very little notice given to complete this survey and it was not well advertised. A mail out should have been done. Many who did not see this will be disappointed. There was a local meeting about regionalization in 2017 and this is the first I have heard of it since. If you want the cooperation of the people they must be informed. It is a very touchy subject in this area but if approached with diplomacy it will receive better
I do not want my small town to become inundated with excess taxes for services we don't want or need. Let there be small communities, every town does not have to be run like a city.
We are content living in an unincorporated community. We have the services we need, the costs are low because there is no governmental administration, no town hall, no unnecessary costs that a local government would incur. I think if locals wanted to be a part of municipalities then they wouldn't have settled here.
I would be open to paying an annual fee to have better services.
If it isn't broke don't try to fix it. It's only the Government's way of trying to tax their way out of debt. Maybe if the government managed money a bit better instead of wasting millions they wouldn't have to worry about trying to tax their way out of debt. Just my opinion. Thank you.

We feel that our needs are sufficiently met through our LSD. A regional situation would not have anything more to offer us.

I think regionalization of services is long overdue. Creating a competent and efficient organization to manage it will be the challenge. Make sure any taxes collected to support this actually go to the program.

Where was the consultation before this list of questions was made up. This is a very municipal, central government, top down question list contrived to direct response in one direction.

It's 2021, I live in Noggin Cove and we have no public water system. The neighboring community of Carmanville has one of the best water supplies in the province. There's no reason why it can't continue thru our community with additional fees. Also, there are no street lights provided which makes it unsafe for walking nighttime thru the community. The local service committee members have been there for years and is not interested in making these things happen. It's 2021 and our community is still living in the 40's...unacceptable.

With improved services the quality of life would improve.

Regionalism does not work. Every municipality in this province is broke!! Why force this on communities that don't want it!?? We need less governance not more.

I have no interest in amalgamation with the town council of either Carbonear, Victoria, or any other community. The beautiful community of Freshwater, CBN works very well just as it is. Our LSD is an AWESOME team. WE ALL WORK TOGETHER! Please leave us as we are. Thank

No.

I have lived in this community my whole life. I'm quite happy with the services my Local Service District provides to me. I have fire protection and garbage pickup. The snow clearing that is provided is satisfactory and the roads are being maintained to my satisfaction too. I have lived this long without street lights and the other services that incorporated towns provide which in turn will raise my yearly expenses through more fees and property taxes. I'm not interested in amalgamation with another near by community! I feel very strongly that amalgamation will take away our community's unique charm and identity. We will be forced to follow rules and regulations that will not improve our quality of living here in this beautiful place I call home!

If the community of Freshwater just outside Carbonear was forced to join Carbonear or Victoria the residents would have no road clearing in the winter, or roads paved because most roads in Carbonear and Victoria are Not cleared and poorly kept. Freshwater is a beautiful community and the residents are Not interested in joining either Carbonear or Victoria. Thank you.

Stop picking away at my rural life style in rural NFLD.

I have lived in an Unincorporated area for the last six years. We pay a yearly fee for road upgrade and look after snow clearing ourselves. We did have garbage collection by Eastern Waste Management however that was halted a couple of years ago. I have no issue with paying a nominal fee for the services which I checked on a previous page.

Planning in these areas would be easier to control.

None.

I think you want to collect tax to pay for snow clearing...well fine. Just pass a law to do that in communities that rely on the province for snow-clearing. That would meet your goals and wouldn't upset the apple cart too much. I mean you're basically talking about abolishing the LSD's so that a larger group can provide the same services. Well I don't see the benefit in using your limited political capital for this. If you do, well lets face it, people will complain for years that the LSD's provided the services far more cost effectively. And sooner or later a politician will come and reinstate the LSD's. So if you want to address a fiscal issue, just address it with this snow clearing tax. Down the road as there are other services that are provided by the province, governments may choose a similar tax approach. Look, don't throw out the LSD's. as they can efficiently provide services and allow the mom and pop type of

Why doesn't the Provincial Government look at taxing the LSD instead of combining communities. I lived in NB and for the same services I have here paid three times for property

This is madness and a tax grab to try to fix mistakes made by other communities who believed incorporating was a good idea for places with almost no tax base. Now they know differently and it seems the Provincial Government and Municipalities NL have only one solution. Blame people outside incorporated areas and make them scapegoats for the ills currently being experienced. I've heard (name removed) say several times we are freeloaders etc. (removed profanity) Doesn't anyone remember that some communities were selected to have all the services for a region and benefit from that? Now the people who were told their taxes were being spent to build up a growth center are being told their bums for using the system the Government designed to operate as it is. Where I live is a long stretch of LSD areas book ended by two municipalities. Services, a clinic and some government offices are ALL in the LSD areas. Including schools above kindergarten and primary. There are businesses everywhere. People are doing okay providing their own water and sewer. The municipalities on the ends are ghost towns full of people ----- off with their councils and how they are run. I don't believe the answer is a Regional Government to make everyone as angry as they are. It's the same pot of money for all and it should be run by the Province with existing bureaucracy. Adding another layer and thinking it'll be cheaper hasn't worked out anywhere here. Eastern Health Eastern Waste etc. etc. Costs more and services are either same or worse not better  
No, it is pointless because nothing will be done. Thank you.

This questionnaire has be worded to favor the MunicipalityNL group. This is not a government department but is been trying to lead the public to believe this. The fact that the LSDs for NL have not ben invited to join the Engage NL group just proves this.

It is time to have a council here, not for one small community but several community's combined. Keep their individuality but have one council with Councillors from all community's.

Ambulance for the area is more than 30 minutes away from us and no doctor is on site in the area. There is a long wait for emergency service.

Municipal governments need to be held accountable to provide adequate services for their residents. Recreation for all ages is also a very important issue as we have a fat Province. Ensuring municipalities offer easy access for recreation is needed.

Yes I am totally against it because I feel it isn't necessary. Most regionalized municipalities cannot keep their bills paid now. This is just another way for Municipalities NL to try and collect more money for their members not for services. How much does the executive get now in salaries?? Probably a lot more than my income. I am a senior who worked hard all my life to make a living and help my deceased husband provide for our family. If it's not broken don't fix

In rural Newfoundland Local Service Districts are doing a great job of running fire protection and waste services without being Municipalities. Local Service Districts run on fees paid by residents only. They do not get gas tax or any other government operational money to operate. Every time I have attended or observed municipalities conversations they say Local Service Districts are a drag to them while that is completely false. I believe communities that are not covered by a Local Service District or town council should be incorporated into the nearest

I live in a small community with a Local Service District. I have my own artesian well and septic system. Eastern Waste Management collects all forms of waste disposal in this area. I do not need regionalization of any services or communities. The only thing that will happen if everything is regionalized is that it will cost me more for the things that I already have. If a council is formed in my area it will cost me more, as well as cost the Government more because the Government will have to provide the council money to pay for such things as grants for water and sewer etc. It is best to leave things the way that they are.

Taxing properties that has always been owned by families for generations to pay for debt of municipalities that have tried to support themselves and failed isn't fair to those who worked to keep their own land! Let alone pay for someone else's.

We pay our own way with a little help from the Government. Incorporated towns cost the Government more money than a Local Service District. If something is not broken don't try to

This would work well in closely populated areas but fail to see how we would benefit in sparsely populated areas where the cost to provide these services would be too great for the

No.

I agree there needs to be regions. Some form of government and regionalization is needed. However the ideas lobbied by municipalities NL serves no one except the towns they charge membership fees to. The single greatest asset NL and Labrador has is it's unincorporated rural areas. We cannot compete on the international stage with the great cities of the world, we can't even compete with the middle cities in Atlantic Canada. We will never build our regions by taxing the residents to try to maintain what the towns can't afford. We need to sell our vast rural areas to people who are looking for what we have. We have world class rural areas that are safe, close to world class health, and politically stable. For ever one person who would move to NL for all the services offered in Gander there are 10,000 who would move here to live in a rural area to farm, fish, work remotely, raise a young family without the burden and politics they see in their current location. Resettlement was a terrible idea brought on by the same processes in place now. Resettlement was wrong in all ways but one it was in the wrong

Regionalization may not benefit my community but I believe it to be the right choice for the

You get what you pay for and everyone should pay there fair share to keep our communities nice for everyone living there and for tourism, etc. Clean up Newfoundland!

Just want not to have to risk life and limb to get to work because I'm on call 24 hours a day seven days a week 365 days a year.

We are fortunate to have a great council and other areas would benefit to be handled by this group. Regionalization in rural NL, trying to get communities to agree and co-operate, has historically been a ----show. I know, have been there! But best of luck...less people involved should mean less costs. NOW, let's do one of these on Civil Servant "Regionalization"??

Yes, it is unfair that LSDs were not given a seat at the table when Municipalities NL has one. We are not second class citizens and deserve a seat at a table which is making decisions about our communities.

I see just another tax grab for Government. Also, I don't see any small towns with Local Service District worst of than any incorporated small town. I think they do a great job.

Road conditions and water supply are my biggest concerns. The part of the community where I live, the roads are awful. Meanwhile, the "popular part" of the community gets the attention when it comes to road maintenance. With all the traffic that comes through our community (which goes in front of my home), there should be road repairs. Also, the water.. the fact that there is "nothing wrong" with our water is beyond me. If I have to clean my bathtub every time it is used because of brown water marks, there is something wrong. I say there should be a better filtration system in place since I can promise you that most residents of my community

With regards to fire protection. I know first hand that there are many volunteer fire departments who lack quality training, ability, manpower etc. They need a paid member to oversee the fire service. Someone accountable, someone who takes control, who plans training, runs fire station activities, looks after equipment, ensure inspections and maintenance are done, conduct fire suppression and rescue activates, plans for new equipment, conducts fire inspections etc. Do not get me wrong, we will never get away from volunteer firefighters but they are tapped out. They often lack the time between personal life, work and family to ensure all these things are completed. They should only be responsible for taking part in training and responding to emergencies. Not having to worry about the other things mentioned. Towns have no issue putting money into Recreation, Public Works, Tourism etc. But they fail to put money in the fire/ emergency response service. To me this is the biggest priority. I think this is a great

I strongly feel regionalization will mean more taxes but no additional services for my community. It may benefit larger communities who can share some professional services. I believe where it makes sense communities will move to sharing services on their own without bringing in another level of government and increased operational cost. Finally, has anyone looked at how much funding from Provincial and Federal Governments medium and large size municipalities have received per capita over the last 30 years or so versus LSD's and

I believe that fire protection should be universal, but adding high annual fees onto seasonal properties will cause them to fall in disrepair, thus lowering the adjacent properties as well.

Really looking forward to more regional planning for myself and others who live outside of municipalities.

While many believe that people residing in Local Service District's are not paying their fair share for services provided. I find it kind of sad; that our elected officials really doesn't appear to be aligned or informed of the responsibilities of a Local Service District. You really need to take a close look at reality throughout this province. A much clearer picture is available within our province. You just need to look outside the box that you have created here.

Residents in local communities are doing whatever they want within the communities. I.e. Scrapping vehicles on their property. Sawmills operating within community boundaries disturbing their neighbours. No control. I.e. Roosters pens within community boundaries,

Don't even try it. Make our street numbers official.

Rural roads in NL are a huge issue. Many businesses suffer due to lack of maintenance and proper snow clearing.

Leave us alone we are happy just as we are.

No but if roads would be graded more often and kept in better driving condition it would be greatly worth a consideration.

No.

It's time to move on this.

I believe when we streamline services and work together it has the best outcome from all parties involved.

The people of this community do not want to be part of a town council. Explain to us why being part of a Local Service District is so important when the only thing they have that we don't is street lights. Why don't someone from Government call a meeting to let us know why our garbage fee went from \$60.00 a year to \$150.00 a year. The only thing we get is a bill in the mail. We never got any garbage bill for the past three years. Got my bill today \$450.00. A senior got to come up with this amount got to do without something else. Why didn't we get a bill for \$150.00 in 2019, 2020 and 2021?

Get rid of the Liberal Government before they ruin our province more than they already have!!!

I believe that all small settlements should be responsible for contributing to the upkeep of their town and province. Far too many places in Newfoundland pay for little but take advantage of all. I believe we should all pay our share.

Suggest that those who currently reside in unincorporated and local service districts be sent questionnaire so that everybody gets an opportunity to contribute . With this process only a small percentage will get a chance to participate and politically it would be a disaster for the sitting Government.

Overall, the will of everyone needs to be achieved to make this a success.

Our community is aging and there are more people who work "away". Even if we regionalize, this will not change our situation as other neighbouring communities are facing these same two obstacles. Regionalizing will cost us more for services that are not based in our community and will take longer for help to arrive if based elsewhere.

Garbage and bulk pick up is important and was a mistake to cancel.

Stop trying to change an efficient, economical system of government.

We see amalgamation feasible for the many adjoining towns that have many duplicated services. If the goal is solely to broaden the tax base by including all communities, it will be a case where taxes will increase without any additional services being provided. We are fine with No.

I don't agree with regionalizing local services mainly because we are trying our best to retain services and provide employment for people within our communities, not to pay for employment for people outside of our communities. We are more interested in economic development and growth within our respective communities. I think this should be the focus of Provincial and Municipal Governments going forward.

The individual LSDs have been somewhat ineffective since inception - difficult to maintain participation and lack of trust among the community. A regional 'county' model would be more effective.

Many people in this community are OPPOSED to regional government, especially people who are seasonal or who have recently left the mainland to retire here. They are not concerned with the economic development in the area because they want it to stay the same as it always was here. At our recent AGM our committee was faced with several very vocal and very angry homeowners who see Regional Government as 'joining up with Clarendville'. People think that we will have to pay more taxes which will all go to Clarendville for things like the Ski Hill. As a volunteer on the Committee I want to see us join together to make the community a better

If we were located closer to a municipality that could offer us additional services I could see a benefit. As is we can't receive water and sewer or extra fire protection. Our garbage is currently picked up by a private company for Eastern Waste Management. I see no benefit to having to pay a greater fee for no improvement in service.

<p>The area has five communities, four out of five are managed via three separate LSD's and one is unincorporated. I would see benefit in this being managed as one LSD with representation from all five communities on the committee. Volunteers are difficult to obtain in the area and this would put less strain on the local volunteer group. The main challenge is that each community has its own priorities and legacy issues that may be difficult to manage at a single LSD level. Baseline funding to bring all communities to a level playing field as it relates to water supply/services would help this issue significantly.</p>
<p>Regional government has worked in a positive way in Northern Alberta, where some of the smaller towns and hamlets and reserves struggled on their own for years. There was a marked difference in a positive way when they embraced a regional government.</p>
<p>Yes. Leave the rural areas alone. The reason people live here is to get away from big towns with stupid regulations.</p>
<p>Start looking at towns that could be a regional centre, have consultations with local MHAs in their districts on what towns could amalgamate together.</p>
<p>I am opposed to regionalization as it was envisioned in the public consultations a couple years ago. I think the regional governments would create huge bureaucracies costing everyone more money. If the larger towns cannot afford the services they presently provide, the less than 10 percent of the population living in LSD's and unincorporated communities will not provide sufficient revenue to make up the shortfall. The LSD I live in provides the services our residents need.</p>
<p>It's not clear what problem you are trying to solve - or is there a problem? Are the LSDs and UAs in need of protection to ensure public health and safety requirements are met? If the Province wants to save on services (snow clearing), you also need to look at small incorporated municipalities which are also receiving the same service. Fairness and equity are important.</p>
<p>We are fine as we are.</p>
<p>Community consultation and education would be a helpful start.</p>
<p>Eastern Regional Service Board (ERSB) should be reviewed and audited. The tactics they use to force services in my cabin area were borderline if not completely criminal. They are also very unprofessional and rude to deal with. Leave cabin country alone...unless the majority request a service.</p>
<p>The Government needs to stop looking for additional ways to increase taxation and needs to become more efficient in the ways it spends the existing taxes already collected. Taking more money out of the economy to fund government services is not the way to balance the provincial budget.</p>
<p>Why would I want to increase cost on my existing services. Regionalizing areas is one step closer to being a council, seen how councils work and have been run, no thanks, my community is fine just the way it is now, my opinion!!</p>
<p>This type of movement generally results in unnecessary or poorer services at more cost to individual property owners.</p>

In the area where we live, there are three communities, two of which are Local Service Districts and one is an incorporated town. The combined population is less than 800 people. We have lived here for almost two decades and I am still not sure of what LSD does or what the purpose is. I think it would be beneficial if we were provided with information about the services and what they cost. Right now, while we pay minimal fees, it is sometimes annoying when something happens (for example, a leak in the water line) and we have to call around to see who's responsibility it is to fix it. After having lived in other, bigger areas, I find some of the methods here slightly archaic, for lack of a better word. I also find it incredibly frustrating that there is no recreation facility in the area but every year, programs like JCP are in place for people to work on a recreation centre building...which is essentially a building that hosts darts, bingo, and weddings. There is no actual physical recreation component. Also, the neighboring incorporated town has recently established a beautiful walking trail. To my knowledge, the town committee applied for grants and were successful. I find that here in the two LSDs more emphasis is put on ensuring people can obtain 14 or so weeks of employment doing odd jobs around the community that really don't benefit the greater community, rather than putting the money into projects that would have a lasting impact on the citizens. How many times does a Recreation Centre need to be painted? Right now, there is also a medical clinic in the town and in one of the neighboring LSDs. The town clinic is open two days a week while the LSD clinic is open the other three days. Is there a need to have two medical clinics 20km apart? Probably not but the argument from "locals" is that because we have an aging population, seniors shouldn't have to drive a great distance to the clinic. Personally, I don't feel that 20km is a great distance. I am a Newfoundlander and a contributing member of society and my community but I feel that a lot of the services offered, etc., in small places like this happen because of tradition, not necessarily because it's the best thing for the whole population. While it's Federal, Canada Post has an outlet in three communities. Is that really necessary? Three in person postal outlets for a population of less than 800 people. Probably not. Overall, I feel that money could be better dispersed to communities. I don't know a lot about regionalization but I

Regionalization equals resettlement for us. We cannot afford to pay for another level of

In our area the Port au Port Peninsula we have three towns and 9-10 LSD's. In order for this to work under a regional body it has to become to an understanding the towns are not the only ones to have a say in matters. The people in the LSD's don't trust the town's as the LSD's have been taken advantage by them before. The towns are like bullies and the LSD'S don't like bullies. This has been the way since the 1960's. There has to be meetings with everyone included not back door meetings with the towns as it happen before. Trust has to be earned from the people in the LSD'S. The services that can be offered to the LSD's has to be priced fairly for everyone and at the same rates and service provided as everyone in the regional district. Now what's happening every LSD and towns services are priced different for a small

It cost me a good deal to set up here with a Public Health approved septic system and a well, and I pay for garbage collection/recycling. I don't really need other services.

Rural communities that can not sustain their services and infrastructure are suffering and it costs money to keep them running. There has to be a better way to keep the rural life alive and not put the province in debt as a whole.

I appreciate the opportunity to voice my opinion. Thank you!



Too many small groups chasing too few grants. With little thought for long term cost of operating resources. Example is "seniors' green space/park in Clareville. Lovely set-up, nowhere near any seniors housing that is now a weedy mess. That combined with weak experience of new and environmentally sustainable initiatives, mean that garbage collection is prioritized, recycling a luxury and open field dumps like the one next to Midway Irving on the Bonavista Highway are a terrifying reality.

Municipalities ---- off more than they can or should be allowed to chew. Municipalities need to contract out their snow clearing and find other ways to save money. Also, most medium to large municipalities in NL have too many employees. Also, it is not the ambit or place of municipal government to have people hired in areas like economic development or other such matters when there are outstanding issues with basic service delivery.

Have been doing this job for the five communities for many years, as no one else will do jobs that don't pay. I willingly volunteered in 1985 and am still doing it. I would love to see this all managed by a regional board. Sad situation when you call public meetings and no one comes, too afraid to be asked to do something for free.

We have lived in this unincorporated community for nine years. We expect to pay taxes for services that we receive (e.g. snow cleaning, road maintenance) but there is no mechanism to collect these taxes. One of my ongoing concerns is the misuse of land...vehicles rusting out, marsh area filled in, two cliffs removed to sell the rock for landfill. Not only are these examples an eyesore, but they harm the environment. This is probably happening in many incorporated communities too and we need to address it and make changes.

Regional services not needed.

Leave it alone.

It's about time for the government to introduce regionalization...we had been wanting it for years and so glad we are moving in this direction.

In this area there is already substantial community involvement, planning, and cooperation, to the point that recreational committees, trail maintenance, common water supplies where needed, and all other essentials are already there organically without being forced to have inefficient government framework and fee structures. When trails need maintenance, people contribute what they can afford. When we needed community signs, a local artist was commissioned to make them and the community members and businesses contributed to make that idea a reality. We function quite well on our own. Regionalization is not bad - direct and indiscriminate taxation however is not needed nor wanted.

I would like to see regionalization of all services sooner than later.

Currently I am unable to vote in municipal elections because I live outside the Municipal boundary of the nearest town. If regionalization occurs it is ABSOLUTELY ESSENTIAL that residents of unincorporated areas that are charged a fee or tax for service are provided representation on decision making bodies such as town councils or regional service authorities. We need to be able to vote in elections and stand for election to these organizations.

This is just another way to create more tax with no benefit for the small communities. We, as small Local Service Districts, do not receive a fair share from Federal, Provincial or Municipal Government, so I know we will get nothing from this fourth level of government except taxes.

The community of ---- where I live in has become a popular destination for Tourists.

Government needs to get some road work done here. Some of our side roads and the main road needs repaving or major repairs. Our government wharf would benefit from more space for the long list of people waiting for a birth at the wharf.

Our Community has a population of 60. Road clearing/maintenance is provided by Department of Transportation. Garbage collection is done by the Burin Peninsula Regional Service Board. Each household has their own water supply and septic disposal. The majority of homeowners are seniors. I feel that we are not in a position to pay for either infrastructure or additional

Yes. This survey doesn't include any questions around development. Regional should include employing consistent standards around property ownership and development. Development outside of municipalities has very little control measures. No enforcement.

Like many who live here in Newfoundland I would like to see more money put into road maintenance and repair. After driving across Canada several times it's tough to admit that our province has the worst roads. Tourists are advised to be prepared for the potholes. If road construction was done right in the first place it wouldn't need so much maintenance. We are not the only province with heavy winters!!

I really don't understand why we need Local Service Districts. I see the potential for great conflict. Rural Newfoundland is tribal. A Pentecostal community won't like being affiliated with an Anglican or Catholic community. Larger communities will dominate the tiny communities and all of the power will be with the larger communities. I suspect regionalization is being proposed solely for the convenience of the Government rather than by the wants and needs of the people in rural Newfoundland. The pandemic has many people thinking about moving to the mainland to be with their children and grandchildren. Regionalization may speed up outmigration as it is not going to go over well that a bunch of outsiders in St. John's are going to decide how rural Newfoundlanders should live their lives.

I do not want to add additional fees for services we do not need. We are doing just fine on our

This push by the town councillors lobby group (Municipalities NL) is nothing more than a tax grab to fund projects like dog parks and hiking trails while core services in small towns continue to be a low to no priority for town councils. The lack of primary sewerage treatment and clean drinking water in our existing towns is shameful. This group constantly whines that people in unincorporated areas are getting a free ride when in fact the opposite is true. Most towns in eastern NL have main roads maintained by provincial tax dollars. These roads remain without curb and gutter and sidewalks for the most part. Children have to walk on the narrow gravel shoulders which are covered in ice in the wintertime. We have an existing framework for regional government, Regional Service boards which are run like fiefdoms, answer to no one and in eastern Newfoundland have failed their primary purpose which was to build and operate a modern solid waste disposal facility. They have not done that. Their responsibility for solid waste management should begin and end at the scale house at the entrance to the landfill. How the solid waste gets there should not be under their remit. The private sector can handle the transportation of waste and recycling. Robin Hood Bay does not meet current standards and operates under a ministerial exemption. Yet another case of a Minister breaking the law for political expediency. As the Provincial Government has full control over municipal operating grants, cooperation between towns for shared services would be a simple matter of mandating it by the Minister. It is time for municipal politicians to start doing the job they were elected for or get out of the way so someone else can do it. I am disgusted with the way our politicians operate. Graft, greed, corruption, nepotism, cronyism and self interest are words which best describe how they operate. If you make it uneconomic for me to continue to live where I do I will simply move to another province and spend my money there. Some people are quite capable of looking after themselves and just want to be left alone in peace and quiet.

Politicians ignore that fact when there are tax dollars to grab. This whole engagement exercise

I live in Tompkins and the LSD are volunteers mainly for water service. I have an artisan well and septic tank. I pay \$180.00 annually for weekly household garbage removal. I do feel the need for fire services, animal control, road maintenance and snow removal should be paid for by the users. Our Newfoundland Government is paying for these cost on the backs of others.

We are a small community. We know we will not be getting any additional services within this regional scheme. But that our rates will go up. We are not interested in this for our area.

Since we lost the services that was provided by Eastern Regional Service Board (ERSB). It's back throwing some things in the woods or along side the road. Personally I don't do this but some people have no choice only do that. As I hear on the news sometimes, there seems to be more garbage dumped in gravel pits and over the edges of the road and in the woods.

We will follow the process and hope that some good and no harm will come from this exercise.

Municipalities NL has done a very good job of convincing everyone that LSDs are leeches, living off Municipalities, but that is unfair and untrue. I am sure there would be some small benefits but certainly not enough to cost people more money. We are self sufficient now and would like to remain so. I think it would be better to have a similar association to MNL for the LSDs so we at least have a voice at the table.

We will have less service if Department of Highways.

No.

I chose to live in a Local Service District specifically to avoid the unnecessary rules and regulations put in place by Municipal Governments. Overstepping and ridiculous regulations that do nothing to improve the livelihood of citizens are not needed. Regionalization will only enforce rules designed to have property owners pay more for services they don't need or require. Regionalization does not benefit us and should not be implemented.

Leave the LSD's alone.

I am quite content with services offered by my Local Service District.

You did not give much time for our residents to answer your questionnaire and NO to regionalization!

Our local service district has a full board with all communities represented with a great selection of talented community members. Men, women, teachers, accountants, fisherpersons, farmers, nurses. Please, before making any decision on our services consult with our local government. Please let them keep their voice. Consider makign decisons based on a collective. We are doing a good job rather than making decisions for us in attempts to make services better, collaborate with us. For example, could we have explored the dump in Jeffrey's rather than relocating hours away. Like 3-4 hours I think. What about the residents in Codroy? Even further away. How much did their bill increase?

I first went to the meetings when they were first addressing these issues and they didn't ask our opinion. They gave us the answers they wanted to hear. If you want to do some thing for us - come and sit with us and ask us what we want or need. I for one feel you are trying to take our rural-ness from us. Dont tell us what we need. let us tell you.

This is simply a rue to manipulate our community and put a larger tax burden on the people from a corrupt, broke, uncaring government (Liberal and Conservative). This is very strange. We aren't asked which community nor our names hence not any kind of legal document. What is it for? The people have to drive long distances to dispose of excess garbage. This was the change. The people pay more now after regionalizing the garbage collection. We are not consulted on anything like this. Even this document surfaced people after the deadline for

\$270 is one fee for Fire and garbage. The community member of BSGS have not been given an opportunity to voice their concerns, no consultation. It's a railroading of the people, decisions being made with out consideration of the folks residing in areas, no opportunity for input and no care to listen to the best interests of the people. left to another town council. Very leave well enough alone. Regionalization would be terrible for our region. We are largely made up of elderly or those on fixed incomes. The added costs and expenses to the households making it very difficult if not impossible for most. If the local service district of Bay St. George South were to not be in charge the undue financial hardships to most of the community members would be detrimental. Right now we have all our needs and requirements met. Our group of volunteers on the board of directors work tirelessly for the people of our region and do

I think that this plan or intent really needs to be talked about at a grassroots level, not decided by government officials not familiar with our area needs, we need the voices on the ground here

There is no one on that board getting \$1500.00/month. How can I pay street lighting, or roads or sewer. We do not want street lighting

We require no other services. We provide our own services as needed at our own expense which is considerable. 1. sewer system: install and annual maintenance 2. water supply: mostly own artesian wells and pump installation and maintenance, 3. water treatment and reverse osmosis - installation and maintenance. It is clear that municipalities (Municipalities NL) has the upper hand (strong lobby) whereas LSDs have no strong organization lobby and no representation on the working group. MNL has been lobbying the provincial government for several years to abolish LSDs. Why? They want property taxes imposed on everyone. That that occurs they can see municipal grants increasing. LSD will be taxed without any increase in services. Regional government will likely mean taxation without representation for small communities. Wondering why this process is being rushed through during holiday season with such short notice. Also representation from LSDs is conspicuously absent from working group. Comment on Green Report: Another blow for rural NL. Tax on 2nd properties. We have a large number of seasonal homes which is typical of outport NL. This will discourage retired people from owning

I understand that government needs to regionalize but as I've stated above, I don't feel our community will benefit from this regionalization

We currently come under the Burnside-St. Chad LSD. The committee has a full slate and is active. It does not and never has incurred debt. It governs the communities well and provides all required services in a financially prudent manner. Regional government would provide the same services at a higher cost and less representation. Stop trying to make every community conform to the same type of government. Think outside the box and allow government types

Councils continue to beat their chests that LSDs do not pay their fair share however LSDs do not receive operating grants, gas rebate, lighting power rebate, plus millions in other funding for other projects in their towns. LSD get zero dollars. Yes we get snow clearing 5km in our town and a bit of pavement every 30 years which I doubt comes close to what councils get in funding. Regionalization is about getting LSDs to pay for council's major financial problems. LSDs work where they are. Leave them alone.

For small community we are doing good, regionalizing would only cost the citizen more expense. Private citizen

Completed by two committee members of the LSD

Completed by business owner in the community.